Environmentally Benign, Beyond-InP Quantum Dots for Self-Emissive Display Devices

<u>Heesun Yang</u>^{*}, Sun-Hyoung Lee, Dae-Yeon Jo, Suk-Young Yoon, Sun-Kyo Kim, Hyun-Min Kim, Yuri Kim, Seong Min Park

hyang@hongik.ac.kr

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Hongik University, Seoul, 04066 Korea Keywords: Beyond-InP, Quantum Dots, Self-Emissive

ABSTRACT

Last decade witnessed great advance in photoluminescent (PL) quality of visible III-V InP quantum dots (QDs) toward bright, sharp emissivity. Nowadays, InP QDs hold an unrivaled position in the field of nextgeneration display devices. In this work, for an effort to develop non-Cd blue and green QDs as potential alternatives to InP counterparts, viable synthesis of ternary ZnSeTe, InGaP and quaternary Ag-In-Ga-S QDs integrated with elaborate heterostructures for high PL performances is explored and fabrication of their highefficiency electroluminescence devices is further demonstrated.

1 Introduction

III-V type indium phosphide (InP) quantum dots (QDs) are now firmly positioned as heavy metal-free, environmentally benign visible emitters in next-generation display devices. On the basis of synthetic advances of InP QDs toward bright and sharp emissivity, they have been successfully exploited in the platform of light-emitting diode (LED) based on color conversion or self-emissive electroluminescence (EL). State-of-the-art InP QDs double-shelled with ZnSe/ZnS in a two-step manner exhibited exceptional photoluminescence (PL) figures-of-merit such as 90–100% in PL quantum yield (QY) and 35–36 nm in full-width-at-half-maximum (fwhm) for both green and red colors [1-3].

In contrast to such substantial progress of InP QD-based green and red emitters, synthesis of blue InP QDs with a bright, deep-blue emissivity is not likely viable, which is primarily associated with their intrinsic size limitation [4,5], thus necessitating the development of alternative QDs with non-InP compositions. Meanwhile, although green InP QDs can exhibit comparable PL features in PL QY and fwhm to red InP counterparts, performances of green QDbased devices are inferior in both color conversion and EL to those of red QD-based ones (highly associated with the smaller size of green InP core relative to red one), thus demanding alternative non-InP green emitters. In this contribution, we introduce non-Cd, non-InP ΩD compositions such as ZnSeTe, InGaP, and Ag-In-Ga-S (AIGS), their viable QD synthesis toward bright-, sharpemissivity, and their application to EL devices.

2 Experiment

ZnSeTe QDs as blue and green emitters were synthesized mainly relying on our earlier publications [4,6]. Blue and green ZnSeTe QDs consisted of their own core/shell heterostructures of ZnSe/ZnS and ZnSe/ZnSeS/ZnS as multi-shells, respectively. In the case of blue-emissive InGaP QDs were prepared via a cation exchange route by reacting pre-grown InP QDs with Gal₃ in a given condition, followed by ZnSeS/ZnS double shellings [5]. Green-emissive ternary AIGS QDs were prepared by reacting AgS_x stock solution with cationic (In³⁺, Ga³⁺) oleylamine solution at 200°C for a few hours, followed by shelling with GaS_x.

QD-light-emitting diodes (QLEDs) with a multilayered architecture were fabricated through sequential spindepositions of all functional layers, consisting of ITO/ poly(ethylenedioxythiophene):polystyrenesulfonate (PEDOT:PSS)/poly(9-vinylcarbazole) (PVK) hole transport layer (HTL)/QD emitting layer (EML)/ZnMgO nanoparticles electron transport layer (ETL)/AI.

3 Results and Discussion

Non-Cd II-VI composition of ZnSe has emerged for synthesis of blue QD emitters. However, PL of most of ZnSe QDs is beyond the desired deep-blue range, typically covering from near UV to violet as a consequence of quantum confinement effects on its relatively high bulk band gap (2.7 eV). One plausible way to obtain the blue emissivity from ZnSe-based QDs is to optimally alloy them with a lower band gap ZnTe. For this, we varied Te/Se ratio of blue-emissive ZnSeTe cores in 0.023-0.047 to tune PL in blue color regime with an identical shelling scheme of ZnSe/ZnS double shells. Te/Se ratio of ZnSeTe/ZnSe/ZnS Increase in core/shell/shell QDs led to systematic red-shifts in PL from 451 to 463 nm (Fig. 1a), while producing exceptional PL QY levels of 89-93%. Based on the device platform of multilayered architecture (Fig. 1b), those blue QDs were individually tested as QD EML. Luminance became markedly brighter with an increasing Te/Se ratio of ZnSeTe QD, showing peak values of 6538, 7841, and 12654 cd/m² for Te/Se ratios of 0.023, 0.035, and 0.047, respectively (Fig. 1c). Accordingly, the current efficiencies were higher across the entire current density region for the device with a higher Te/Se ratiobased QD, also displaying increasing peak values from 7.2 cd/A for Te/Se=0.023 up to 14.8 cd/A for Te/Se=0.047. Meanwhile, their overall EQEs were not much different, producing similarly exceptional peak EQEs of 13.4-13.7% (Fig. 1d).

Te/Se ratio in ZnSeTe core was further varied to extend the emission tunability beyond the blue region. A set of ZnSeTe cores with Te/Se molar ratios in the range of 0.14–0.38 were synthesized, and then the identical



Fig.1 (a) Absorption/PL spectra of blue ZnSeTe/ZnSe/ZnS QDs with different core Te/Se ratios. (b) Device structure of blue QLED and (c) luminancecurrent density and (d) current efficiency/EQE-current density of blue devices integrated with QDs as a function of Te/Se ratio.

multiple shells of ZnSe/ZnSeS/ZnS were applied. As intended, spectral red-shifts of PL (Fig. 2a) were well proportional to the Te/Se ratio. Specifically, PL spanned from 495 nm for Te/Se = 0.14 to 532 nm for Te/Se = 0.38 at the peak wavelength, while an identical fwhm of 45 nm as obtained regardless of Te/Se ratio. PL QY tended to marginally decrease from 83% for Te/Se = 0.14 to 80% for Te/Se = 0.28, followed by appreciable reductions to 74 and 68% for Te/Se ratios of 0.33 and 0.38. respectively. Given PL QY and the green territory-relevant wavelength, Te/Se = 0.28-based, 520 nm-emitting ZnSeTe/ZnSe/ZnSeS/ ZnS QDs were integrated as the representative green emitters for the following first demonstration of solution-processed multilayered green QLED (Fig. 2b). The maximum luminance of 18420 cd/m² was achieved at a driving voltage of 7 V (Fig. 2c). Device efficiencies were also impressively high, showing maximum values of 27.6 cd/A in current efficiency and 7.6% in EQE obtainable at a high luminance level of 4456 cd/m² (Fig. 3d).

Then, the first synthesis of blue-emissive ternary InGaP QDs through a unique In³⁺-to-Ga³⁺ cation exchange strategy was implemented (Fig. 3a). As the amount of Gal3 added for cation exchange increased, we observed systematic shifts of absorption spectra to the blue as a consequence of effectively increasing Ga incorporation (Fig. 3b). Upon ZnSeS/ZnS double shelling, the resulting InGaP/ZnSeS/ZnS QDs produced consistent blue-shifts in PL from 475 to 465 nm (Fig. 3c), while maintaining high PL QYs in the range of 80-82%. Among a series of QD samples above, 465 nm-emitting InGaP/ZnSeS/ZnS QDs were further employed as an emitting layer of all-solutionprocessed QLED. This unprecedented InGaP QD-based blue device yielded maximum values of 1038 cd/m² in luminance and 2.5% in external quantum efficiency (Fig. 3d,e).

Lastly, I-III-VI type AIGS QDs as another alternatives to InP ones were synthesized. In that quaternary composition, their band gap and resulting PL wavelength were widely



Fig.2 (a) Normalized PL spectra of green ZnSeTe/ZnSe/ZnSeS/ZnS QDs with different core Te/Se ratios. (b) Cross-sectional TEM image, (c) luminance/current density-voltage and (d) current efficiency/EQE-luminance relations of green QLED.

tunable by adjusting In/Ga ratio, as seen from Fig. 4a, while we focus on green-emissive AIGS QDs with a given In/Ga ratio. After growth of AIGS core, higher-band gap GaS_x shell was deposited, resulting in a size increment from 6.3 to 7.3 nm (Fig. 4b). Fig. 4c presents PL spectra of AIGS core versus AIGS/GaS_x core/shell QDs, where PL markedly increased from 20 to 75% in PL QY.



Fig.3 (a) Schematic of In-to-Ga cation exchangebased InGaP core and subsequent ZnSeS/ZnS double shelling. (b) Absorption spectra of InP and a series of cation-exchanged InGaP and (c) normalized PL spectra of a series of InGaP/ZnSeS/ZnS QDs. (d) Luminance/current density-voltage and (d) current efficiency/EQE-current density relations of blue InGaP QLED.



Fig.4 (a) Photo of a series of AIGS/GaS_x QDs with varying In/Ga ratio. (b) TEM images of AIGS core versus AIGS/GaS_x core/shell QDs. (c) PL spectral comparison of AIGS and AIGS/GaS_x QDs.

The fwhm of AIGS/GaS_x QDs was as narrow as 38 nm, which is comparable to that of state-of-the-art green InP QDs. Further synthetic tuning toward sharper and brighter PL is under way, followed by the fabrication of high-performance EL device.

4 Summary

In this contribution, we introduced environmentally benign, beyond-InP QDs primarily as efficient blue and green emitters. First, relying on ZnSeTe composition, high-PL QY blue and green emissions were realized simply by adjusting Se/Te ratio. Based on such high-quality ZnSeTe QDs, high-efficiency blue and green EL devices were further demonstrated, showing maximum EQEs of ca. 13 and 7%, respectively. Then, ternary InGaP QDs derived from effective cation exchange were addressed as potential efficient blue emitters. Lastly, highly fluorescent, color-pure I-III-VI AIGS QDs with 75% in PL QY and 38 nm in fwhm was further presented as strong candidates that will compete with InP green emitters.

References

[1] Y. Kim, S. Ham, H. Jang, J. H. Min, H. Chung, J. Lee, D. Kim, E. Jang, ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2, 1496 (2019).

[2] Y. Li, X. Hou, X. Dai, Z. Yao, L. Lv, Y. Jin, X. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 6448 (2019).

[3] Y.-H. Won, O. Cho, T. Kim, D.-Y. Chung, T. Kim, H. Chung, H. Jang, J. Lee, D. Kim, D. E. Jang, Nature 575, 634 (2019).

[4] E.-P. Jang, C.-Y. Han, S.-W. Lim, J.-H. Jo, D.-Y. Jo, S.-H. Lee, S.-Y. Yoon, H. Yang, ACS. Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 11, 46062 (2019).

[5] K.-H. Kim, J.-H. Jo, D.-Y. Jo, C.-Y. Han, S.-Y. Yoon, Y. Kim, Y.-H. Kim, Y. H. Ko, S. W. Kim, C. Lee, H. Yang, Chem. Mater. 32, 3537 (2020).

[6] S.-H. Lee, C.-Y. Han, S.-W. Song, D.-Y. Jo, J.-H. Jo, S.-Y. Yoon, H.-M. Kim, S. Hong, J. Y. Hwang, H. Yang. Chem. Mater. 32, 5678 (2020).