

The difference between JMA magnitude and moment magnitude in terms of seismic efficiency

\*Kiyohiko Yamamoto

1. Introduction: For large earthquakes occurring along the Japan trench except for the off Miyagi Pref. region, moment magnitude  $M_w$  is 0.4 larger than the JMA magnitude  $M_j$ . Here,  $M_j$  and  $M_w$  are proportional to logarithms of seismic energy  $E_s$  and released moment  $M_o$ , respectively.  $M_o$  is proportional to the relative displacement  $u_b$  of fault surfaces.  $E_s$  depends on directly seismic efficiency  $f$ , but  $M_o$  does indirectly. Thus the difference is thought to reflect the degree of the dependence on  $f$ .

$f$  is a function of the rupture velocity  $V_r$  and is large for a large  $V_r$ . The small  $M_j$  compared with  $M_w$  thus suggests the small  $V_r$ . The difference between  $M_j$  and  $M_w$  is discussed from this viewpoint for The Tohoku earthquake (2011/3/11,  $M_w9$ ) as an example.

2.Theory: The damage zone fault model of earthquakes\* is employed for the present discussion. In this model, a fault zone with a uniform thickness constitutes of damaged rock area and asperity area. Fault surfaces mean the boundaries between fault zone and host rock blocks. The damaged rocks have relaxed during a long time after the preceding faulting. An asperity has the same elastic constants as the host rocks. Faulting occurs at the time that the relative displacement  $u_b$  reaches the critical value.

For faulting, energy balance is written by

$$P_a + P_b = E_s + W, \quad E_s = f \times P_b. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  respectively are strain energies in the asperity and in the host rock blocks.  $P_b$  is approximated by the strain energy released when a circular crack is produced in a homogeneous host rocks under the uniform stress, that is equal in magnitude to the average stress drop due to faulting.

$W$  is apparent fracture energy that is equivalent to the work to the host rocks done by the vertical displacement of the fault surfaces. The displacement is produced by the rotation of damaged rocks accompanied by the rupture propagation in a fault zone.

A linear relation has been found between the width of fault damage zone and the length of fault (Vermilye, J. M., and C. H. Scholz, 1998). In order to link the model to fault size, the linear relation is adopted.\* Further, Sato and Hirasawa (1973) present an approximate relationship between  $V_r$  and  $f$  for a circular crack. This relationship is used for the present discussion.

3. Results: For  $f=1$ , all strain energy  $P_b$  is dissipated as  $E_s$ . The fraction of asperity area is about 2% of the fault zone area.  $V_r$  is approximately equal to the S-wave velocity of host rocks. For  $f$  close to zero, all strain energy  $P_a + P_b$  is used for the rupture propagation and  $E_s$  and  $V_r$  go to zeros. These may be the characteristics of so-called slow slip events. The fraction tends to about 0.74%. This is 0.37 times of the fraction at  $f=1$ . This means that the displacement and the average stress drop decrease to 0.37 times of those at  $f=1$ .

The relationships between  $E_s$  and  $M_j$  and between  $M_o$  and  $M_w$  respectively are written by

$$\log E_s = 1.5M_j + 4.8 \quad (2)$$

$$\log M_o = 1.5M_w + 9.1. \quad (3)$$

For a constant fault area, Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) intersect around  $f = 0.8$ . This suggests that the seismic efficiency is about 0.8 for majority of earthquakes. For  $f = 0.8$ ,  $V_r$  is determined at about 0.8 times of S-wave velocity  $V_s$  of host rock.

Referring to the report by JMA\*\*,  $M_j$  and  $M_w$  of The Tohoku earthquake are 8.4 and 9.0, respectively, and  $V_r$  and  $V_s$  are about 1.8km/s and about 3.4 km/s, respectively.  $V_r$  is about 0.53 times of  $V_s$ . From the relationship of  $V_r$  and  $f$ ,  $f$  is estimated at about 0.3.  $M_j$  is estimated at about 8.6 for  $f$

= 0.3 and  $M_w=9.0$ . The estimated  $M_j$  tends to the observed one. This suggests that the small  $M_j$  is due to the small  $V_r$ .

Note: \*Yamamoto and Yabe, 2009; <http://kynmt.in.coocan.jp/> ;(REFERENCE/23)

\*\*<http://www.jma.go.jp/jma/kishou/books/gizyutu/133/ALL.pdf>

Keywords: Seismic efficiency, Moment magnitude, JMA magnitude, Slow slip event, Rupture velocity, Damagezone fault model of earthquake