

Research about environment evaluation index focused on the fluctuation of carbon dioxide concentration in Ibaraki

*加瀬 秀征¹、桑原 祐史²

*Hideyuki Kase¹, Yuji Kuwahara²

1. 茨城大学大学院理工学研究科、2. 茨城大学広域水圏環境科学教育研究センター

1. Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ibaraki University, 2. Center for Water Environment Studies, Ibaraki University

The Japan Meteorological Agency has reported that the atmospheric CO₂ concentration has been on the increasing trend since the Industrial Revolution. The annual average carbon dioxide concentration in 2015 exceeded 400 ppm and it updated to the highest value. These data were obtained in isolated islands and mountains where there is little influence from human activity.

On the other hand, we have measured CO₂ concentration in the living area of Ibaraki since 2007. It is thought that the carbon dioxide concentration obtained by measuring in the living zone can include the influence of geographical conditions (i.e. terrain, population, traffic, land cover, etc.) around the observation points. So far, increase and decrease, the fluctuation pattern of CO₂ concentration is different for each region and is affected by the amount of vegetation around the measurement points. Then, our research group proposed the new environment evaluation index focusing on this feature. The index was suggested to quantify one day's fluctuation of CO₂ concentration and it is given as $(\text{Index}) = (\text{CO}_{2_max} - \text{CO}_{2_min}) / \text{CO}_{2_max}$. Here, CO_{2_max} is the maximum CO₂ concentration of time mean, and CO_{2_min} is the minimum CO₂ concentration of time mean. However, in the past research, we did not fully verify the effectiveness of the index.

In this paper, we aimed to calculate the index from CO₂ concentration for about three years and to investigate correlation with geographical information (e.g. land use, green coverage and population). The table shows that correlation between the information and environment evaluation index from 2014 to 2016. As a result, we found the high positive correlation with vegetation in summer and positive correlation with human activity in winter. Therefore, we conclude the nature of the index changes according to the season. Especially, analysis from the spring to autumn, we confirm that the index can express the CO₂ absorption level and the activity of vegetation by season. In winter, few green spaces and the brisk areas of human activity, the index is increasing. From these results, they are shown the environment evaluation index derives from the fluctuation of CO₂ concentration related to land cover near the observation points.

キーワード：二酸化炭素濃度、環境評価指標、地理情報、緑被率

Keywords: Carbon dioxide concentration, Environment evaluation index, Geographic information, Green coverage

Correlation(2014)					
Δ :Positive Correlation $\blacktriangledown$:Negative Correlation		Correlation Coefficient			
Geographical Information		Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
Vegetation	Forest	$\Delta 0.43$	$\Delta 0.80$	$\Delta 0.28$	$\blacktriangledown 0.48$
	Forest + Rice Field	$\Delta 0.64$	$\Delta 0.88$	$\Delta 0.33$	$\blacktriangledown 0.30$
	Forest + Rice Field + Farmland	$\Delta 0.81$	$\Delta 0.81$	$\Delta 0.64$	$\blacktriangledown 0.01$
	Green Coverage	$\Delta 0.71$	$\Delta 0.89$	$\Delta 0.66$	$\blacktriangledown 0.01$
Human Activity	Building Site	$\blacktriangledown 0.39$	$\blacktriangledown 0.68$	$\blacktriangledown 0.25$	$\Delta 0.53$
	Population	$\blacktriangledown 0.49$	$\blacktriangledown 0.68$	$\blacktriangledown 0.38$	$\Delta 0.40$
Correlation(2015)					
Δ :Positive Correlation $\blacktriangledown$:Negative Correlation		Correlation Coefficient			
Geographical Information		Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
Vegetation	Forest	$\Delta 0.28$	$\Delta 0.83$	$\blacktriangledown 0.39$	$\blacktriangledown 0.70$
	Forest + Rice Field	$\Delta 0.50$	$\Delta 0.75$	$\Delta 0.01$	$\blacktriangledown 0.46$
	Forest + Rice Field + Farmland	$\Delta 0.71$	$\Delta 0.65$	$\Delta 0.37$	$\blacktriangledown 0.21$
	Green Coverage	$\Delta 0.52$	$\Delta 0.73$	$\Delta 0.22$	$\blacktriangledown 0.29$
Human Activity	Building Site	$\blacktriangledown 0.28$	$\blacktriangledown 0.54$	$\Delta 0.26$	$\Delta 0.70$
	Population	$\blacktriangledown 0.39$	$\blacktriangledown 0.45$	$\Delta 0.07$	$\Delta 0.53$
Correlation(2016)					
Δ :Positive Correlation $\blacktriangledown$:Negative Correlation		Correlation Coefficient			
Geographical Information		Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
Vegetation	Forest	$\Delta 0.17$	$\Delta 0.57$	$\Delta 0.20$	
	Forest + Rice Field	$\Delta 0.33$	$\Delta 0.75$	$\Delta 0.52$	
	Forest + Rice Field + Farmland	$\Delta 0.52$	$\Delta 0.89$	$\Delta 0.79$	
	Green Coverage	$\Delta 0.44$	$\Delta 0.89$	$\Delta 0.72$	
Human Activity	Building Site	$\blacktriangledown 0.05$	$\blacktriangledown 0.76$	$\blacktriangledown 0.32$	
	Population	$\blacktriangledown 0.06$	$\blacktriangledown 0.91$	$\blacktriangledown 0.48$	