## Characterization of Lunar Surface Environment at APPROACH Landing-site Candidate

\*Keisuke Onodera<sup>1,2</sup>, Satoshi Tanaka<sup>2</sup>, Ken Goto<sup>2</sup>, Hiroaki Shiraishi<sup>2</sup>, Taichi Kawamura<sup>3,4</sup>, Ryuhei Yamada<sup>5</sup>, Hideki Murakami<sup>6</sup>, Yoshiaki Ishihara<sup>2</sup>, Masahiko Hayakawa<sup>2</sup>

1. SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), 2. Institute of Space and Astronautical Science / Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3. Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, 4. National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 5. University of Aizu, 6. Kochi University

APPROACH (Advanced Penetrator Probe for a Challenge of Hard-landing) is a future lunar science mission which aims to investigate the internal structure of the Moon [1]. In this mission, a penetrator will be deployed on the Moon and observe seismic activities and heat flow. Generally, it is essential for almost all landing-missions to understand the surface environment of their landing-sites for successful touchdown. As for the Moon, recent missions (e.g. KAGUYA and LRO) obtained high-resolution imagery of lunar surface, and these data enabled us to evaluate the surface conditions.

To meet the science objectives of APPROACH [1], we selected a landing-site candidate using LROC Narrow Angle Camera (NAC) data, rock abundance map deduced from Diviner [2][3], Th map [4] and elevation map [5]. After the selection, we counted the boulders at the landing-site candidate to measure rock size-frequency distribution (RSFD).

We also simulated the deployment of a penetrator and estimated the probability of success (i. e. how many penetrators can successfully penetrate into subsurface). In the simulation, about 1 million rocks were randomly located within the error circle of the deployment. The size distribution of the rocks was given based on the RSFD we measured. Them, a penetrator was installed 1000 times. We carried out this operation 100 times and calculated the average and standard deviation of the probability of success. As a result, more than 99 % success was shown through the simulation.

In the presentation, we'll show how the landing-site candidate was selected and what kind of analyses were demonstrated to evaluate the probability of success.

## References

- [1] Tanaka et al. (2018), Proc. Space Sci. Symp., 18th, Jan. 5-6, ISAS/JAXA.
- [2] Bandfield et al. (2011), JGR, 116, E00H02.
- [3] Bandfield et al. (2017), Icarus, 283, 282-299.
- [4] Yamashita et al. (2012), EPSL, 353-354, 93-98.
- [5] Araki et al. (2009), Science, 323, 897-900.

## Acknowledgement

We'd like to appreciate JAXA Lunar and Planetary Exploration Data Analysis Group (JLPEDA) for providing necessary image data & analysis tools.

Keywords: APPROACH, Moon, Landing site selection, Internal structure