

Estimating centroid location and source dimension of the Te Araroa earthquake (Mw 7.1), New Zealand by analyzing direct and reflected tsunamis

*Tatsuya Kubota¹, Tatsuhiko Saito¹, Yoshihiro Ito², Ryota Hino³, Syuichi Suzuki³, Yoshihiro Kaneko⁴, Laura Wallace^{4,5}

1. National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience, 2. Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, 3. Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, 4. GNS Science, 5. Institute for Geophysics, The University at Texas at Austin

On September 1, 2016 (UTC), the Te Araroa earthquake occurred ~ 80 km northeast of the coast of the North Island, New Zealand (NZ) (Mw 7.1, GCMT). This earthquake had a normal-faulting mechanism and occurred in the subducting plate in the Hikurangi subduction zone (Warren-Smith et al., 2018). When this event occurred, ocean bottom pressure gauges (OBPs) were installed at ~150 km south of the source area, and first-arrival of tsunami with amplitude of -2 cm and the coastal reflected tsunami with amplitude of +1.5 cm were clearly observed. Centroid moment tensor (CMT) solution of this earthquake was estimated by GCMT, USGS, and the regional seismic network (GeoNet) of GNS Science, NZ. Although their depths, strikes, dips and rakes, and seismic moments were similar, their horizontal locations were quite different. Both the GCMT and USGS centroids were located ~80 km northeast from the coast, but they were ~20 km apart from each other in NS direction. The GeoNet centroid was located further ~50 km northeast from the GCMT and USGS centroids. The robustness of the centroid location estimation from onshore seismic data depends on the various factors, such as the station coverage, S/N ratio, or the uncertainty of the velocity structure. Since this earthquake occurred far from the coast, the centroid location estimated using the onshore seismic data may not be accurate. On the other hand, the tsunami propagates much slower than the seismic waves and reliable bathymetry data is available for the accurate tsunami propagation modeling. Tsunami also has an advantage in constraining the earthquake source dimension which determines the extent of the tsunami source area, because the tradeoff between the earthquake source dimension and the rupture velocity is much more significant for seismic wave than tsunami. In this study, we estimated the centroid location and source dimension of the Mw7.1 Te Araroa earthquake using the offshore tsunami data.

We estimated the centroid location using grid search approach. Fixing the magnitude, fault geometry and centroid depth (GCMT), we assumed the rectangular planar fault using the scaling law (Wells and Coppersmith, 1994). Then we searched for the centroid location that best reproduces the observed waveforms, based on the VR (variance reduction) between the observed and calculated waveforms. We first used the direct waves and obtained the centroid location at ~15 km north of the GeoNet centroid (~130 km northeast from the coast). However, the high-VR area (> 90% of the best solution's VR) extended ~100 km in the WSW-ENE direction, suggesting the centroid location was not constrained well. We then used tsunami reflected from the coast to calculate VR, and obtained the centroid location at ~10 km northwest of the GCMT centroid (~80 km northeast from the coast). The extent of the high-VR area was reduced to ~40 km in the WSW-ENE direction and does not include the GeoNet and USGS centroids. This suggests that the GCMT is the most suitable solution for the centroid location, and the reflected waves contributed for constraining the centroid location.

We then searched for the earthquake source dimension by fixing the seismic moment and fault geometry

to the GCMT value and assuming the ratio of source length L to width W such that $L/W = 2$ (rigidity $\mu = 40$ GPa). With the direct waves alone, the best source length was obtained as $L = 40 \pm 20$ km. On the other hand, when using both the direct and reflected waves, the source length was $L = 50 \pm 15$ km. Although the source dimension is not constrained well, the upper limit of the possible source length was almost the same in both analyses, and the modeling result using the coastal reflection suggests that a smaller source dimension ($L < \sim 30$ km) is not plausible. Using the range of source dimensions obtained from the analysis of the coastal reflection, we calculated the stress drop $\Delta \sigma$ of $\sim 0.5 - 3.0$ MPa, which is a typical value for earthquake stress drop (e.g., Kanamori and Anderson, 1978).

Keywords: The 2016 Te Araroa earthquake, New Zealand, Tsunami, Coastal reflected wave, Centroid moment tensor