

# Estimation of Long-Period Ground Motions Containing Permanent Displacement in the Near-Fault Region for 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake

\*Tanaka Shinya<sup>1</sup>, Yoshiaki Hisada<sup>2</sup>

1. Tokyo Electric Power Services Co., Ltd., 2. Kogakuin Univ.

## 1. Introduction

The 2016 Kumamoto earthquake (Mw7.0) generated the extensive surface faulting, and recorded characteristic near-fault strong motions. It is assumed that the region shallower than the seismogenic layer contributes much long-period ground motions containing the fling step in the near-fault Area. Several studies have reported how to set parameters the region shallower than the seismogenic layer (e.g. Irikura and Kurahashi(2017), Kawasato et al.(2017)). We previously reported the procedure to extend the strong ground motion prediction method by the Headquarters for Earthquake Research Promotion ("Recipe") to the region shallower than the seismogenic layer (e.g. Tanaka et al.(2017)). However, in the past study, we focused only strong ground motion and the fling step near source region. Therefore, in this paper, we construct characterized source models for 2016 Kumamoto earthquake and estimate long-period ground motions in wide area by theoretical method.

## 2. Construction of characterized source models

Figure 1 shows constructed characterized source models. The fault length, width and location were based on Hikima(2016). Parameters of source models located the seismogenic layer were determined based on "recipe" and located the region shallower than the seismogenic layer were determined based on tanaka et al.(2017). In addition, we considered different uncertainty in each model. We assumed Model-01 with large slip, different rake, and short rise time for the region shallower than the seismogenic layer in Futagawa fault. On the other hand we assumed Model-02 considered Idenoguchi fault in addition to Futagawa fault and Hinagu fault. We assumed the rupture velocity of 3.0km/s on the Idenoguchi fault is faster than 2.45km on Futagawa fault and Hinagu fault.

## 3. Results

Figure 2 shows observed and synthetic waveforms and fourier spectrum. The synthetic waveforms using these models shown in figure1 reasonably explain the observed waveforms. In addition, the contribution of the region shallower than the seismogenic layer in Futagawa fault is dominant in Model-01, but in Model-02 the seismogenic layer in Idenoguchi fault also contributes greatly. On the other hand, agreement of the succeeding phases of S-wave between the observations and the synthetics calculated from the Model-02 at KMM011 was better than the ones of Model-01. This difference is caused by Idenoguchi fault. Next, we examined the validity of the two models. The slip in the region shallower than the seismogenic layer set in Model-01 (4.1m) is larger than the amount of strike slip on the surface rupture based on field surveys of surface ruptures (max 2.2m). The slip velocity time function set in the region shallower than the seismogenic layer in Model-01 is clearly shorter than the past earthquake. The displacement distribution in the region between Futagawa fault and Idenoguchi fault of Model-01(Uplift) is different from the observation (Sedimentation). We concluded that Model-02 is more realistic than Model-01. The present result suggested that the shape and location of fault plane is important in the

Near-Fault area.

Keywords: Near-fault region, Seismogenic Layer, Permanent Displacement, Strong ground motion prediction




Fig.1 Estimated source model (stars:rupture start point,  $\triangle$  : strong motion point)




Fig.2 Observed and Synthetic waveforms and acceleration Fourier spectra  
 black line:obs, red line :syn-model-01, blue line:syn-model02

(Maximum amplitude is shown above each waveform in cm/s for velocity and cm for distance)