# Study on topographic features and landslide development process in slope failure by Typhoon Talas

## \*Teruyuki Kikuchi<sup>1</sup>, Teruyoshi Hatano<sup>2</sup>, Satoshi Nishiyama<sup>3</sup>

1. JP-Design Co., Ltd., 2. Electric Power Development Co., Ltd., 3. Okayama University Graduate School of Environmental and Life Science

### 1. Introduction

We have not established a prediction of landslide. The cause of this landslide is complicatedly related to the predisposition. In order to clarify the process leading to the slope failure, accumulation and analysis of cases and long-term observation and evaluation are necessary. The microtopography before disaster occurrence can clarify the process of collapse occurrence. Therefore, we tried to examine the topographic features and the landslide development process of the place where the collapse occurred, by micro-topography interpretation on the location of the slope failure occurrence site.

#### 2. Location

Typhoon Talus crossing western Japan from 2 September to 5 September 2011 brought rain close to 2000 mm to the Kii mountain range, causing more than 50 deep-seated landslides. The scope covered by this research is the upper Totsu-gawa. In this basin, the LiDAR-data before and after the disaster is being carried out by the Kinki Regional Development Bureau, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport. Particularly in areas where many collapses occurred, the accumulated rainfall exceeded 600 mm. In addition, it corresponds to the distribution area of the Shimanto belt in the upper reaches of the Totsu-gawa, and the north to northwest slope is the dip slope.

#### 3. Method

The verification, 38 places with a collapse area of 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> or more that collapsed due to the Talus. We tried micro topography interpretation of the aerial laser topographic map before the collapse. The authors chose main scarp, flank, and knickpoint, which are topographies showing the gravitational deformation of the mountain body as the topography of micro topography interpretation. In addition, as the characteristic topography recognized in the deep layer collapse in the Kii mountain range, the terminal cliff and the gravitationaldeformation appeared surface in which the back slope and the moving rock body are not completely separated are targeted. In addition, the gully was the topography showing the influence of surface water and groundwater. These micro topographies were classified into three types of "nothing", "unclear" and "clear". Next, as an index showing the developmental state of the collapsed terrain, we consider it in relation with the landslide topography development process.

#### 4. Result

As a result of micro-topography interpretation in Table 1, seven places with the topographical features total as 1 to 3 were observed as the first group. For these, the clear of main scarp, flank is not recognized, and the gravitational deformation at the top of the slope is the subject. Comparing the collapsed range, the moving body is unclear. This can be classified as the earliest gravity deformation in the landslide topography development process shown in Table 2, which is very close to the initial stage. I concluded

that this is also the stage of the earlier stage in the transitional stage. The topographical features of the second group are total number 3 to 7, including main scarp,flank or include clear topographical features. Since the gravitationaldeformation, knickpoint,terminal cliff is remarkable, it can be considered that gravity deformation is being clarified. The whole contour is partially clear. Although they are transitional stage, they are thought to be close to the main moving stage. It was separated from the late stage of the transitional stage. The third group has a total number of topographical features of 4 to 12, and it is a group including main scarp. Terminal cliff and accompanying knickpoint are also often clear. Gravitationaldeformation and knickpoint are clear in the slope. This is thought to be due to weight deformation progressing, juvenile landslide sliding surface connected and "main moving stage" equivalent.

#### 5. Discussion

According to this study, the appearance of main scarp, gully, flank is uncertain though topographic features in the early and late stages of the transitional stage, but the appearance of gravitationaldeformation and terminal cliff was high probability. In addition, if it is a landslide slide in a narrow sense, it is thought that it undergoes a stepwise development process from the early stage to the late stage of the transitional stage, but in Talus in 2011 it has collapsed even at the earlier stage. The gravitational deformation which is frequently observed at this stage is thought to have appeared on the surface because it corresponds to gravitational rock creep and the structure of the basement rock is sound. Focusing on such gravitationaldeformation, we think that there is a possibility of predicting collapse by understanding the features.

Keywords: slope failure, development of landslide process, microtopography

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		面積 (m2)	比高 (m)	最大傾斜 方向	崩壊範囲内 の平均傾斜 ( <sup>°</sup> )	地形的特徵 [0 無,1 有(不明瞭),2 有(明瞭)]								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	No.					滑落崖	側方崖	小崖	末端崩 壊	ガリー	不規則 凹凸	合計	地質 (*1)	地すべり地形 発達過程
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	7,500	112	NNE	36.5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Ob	漸移期[前期]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	5,100	96	E	37.7	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	48,000	56	N	38.3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	My2	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	28,700	176	WSW	35.3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5	26,400	124	SSE	32.8	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	H4	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6	6,600	108	NE	37.3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	My2	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7	35,900	176	SW	31.1	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	Yk2	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8	29,900	254	NE	38.4	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	My3	漸移期[後期]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9	9,200	128	E	37.3	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	My1	"
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10	9,400	92	SW	38.8	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	My1	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	11	14,000	114	NW	34.6	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	12	4,500	94	NNE	33.7	1	0	1	1	0	1	4	My4	"
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	13	20,600	154	N	35.3	1	0	0	2	0	1	4	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	8,500	165	NW	39.8	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	Ry	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	42,100	174	N	32.6	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	H4	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	48,100	308	NW	38.5	0	0	0	2	1	1	4	My2	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	5,044	103	W	39.8	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	Yk3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	14,300	154	NNW	38.2	1	0	1	1	1	1	5	My4	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	34,100	234	NE	38.3	1	1	0	1	1	1	5	Ry	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	13,500	136	ENE	39.6	1	1	1	0	1	1	5	Yk2	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	7,400	64	W	41.8	1	1	0	2	1	1	6	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	14,700	126	NNW	37.8	0	2	1	2	2	0	7	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	42,300	218	WSW	38.8	1	1	1	2	1	1	7	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	5,100	158	NW	40.9	0	1	2	2	1	1	7	My3	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	48,000	226	WSW	30.0	0	1	0	2	2	2	7	My2	"
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	18,200	134	NNW	38.1	2	1	0	1	0	1	5	gc	滑動期
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	1,400	32	NE	39.9	0	2	0	2	1	0	5	My2	"
29 22,500 92 NNW 36.8 0 1 1 2 0 2 6 FV   30 77,200 124 ESE 29.6 0 0 2 2 1 1 6 H4   31 38,600 120 NNW 35.1 2 0 1 1 1 1 6 H4   32 59,000 254 ENE 37.3 1 1 1 1 1 6 My1   33 68,300 396 NNE 42.1 1 1 0 2 2 1 7 My4   34 10.300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My4   35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2	28	51,700	227	NNW	32.2	1	0	1	2	0	2	6	Ry	"
30 77,200 124 ESE 29.6 0 0 2 2 1 1 6 H4   31 38,600 120 NNW 35.1 2 0 1 1 1 1 6 H4   32 59,000 254 ENE 37.3 1 1 1 1 1 6 My1   33 86,300 396 NNE 42.1 1 1 0 2 2 1 7 My4   34 10,300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My4   35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My3	29	22,500	92	NNW	36.8	0	1	1	2	0	2	6	Ry	"
31 38,600 120 NNW 35.1 2 0 1 1 1 1 6 H4   32 59,000 254 ENE 37.3 1 1 1 1 1 6 H4   33 66,300 396 NNE 42.1 1 1 0 2 2 1 7 My4   34 10,300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My2   35 254,900 592 NW 383 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My2	30	77,200	124	ESE	29.6	0	0	2	2	1	1	6	H4	"
32 59,000 254 ENE 37.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 My1   33 86,300 396 NNE 42.1 1 1 0 2 2 1 7 My4   34 10,300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My2   35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My3	31	38,600	120	NNW	35.1	2	0	1	1	1	1	6	H4	"
33 86,300 396 NNE 42.1 1 1 0 2 2 1 7 My4   34 10,300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My4   35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My3	32	59,000	254	ENE	37.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	My1	"
34 10.300 144 SW 41.3 0 2 0 2 2 1 7 My2   35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My2	33	86,300	396	NNE	42.1	1	1	0	2	2	1	7	My4	"
35 254,900 592 NW 38.3 2 1 1 1 1 2 8 My2   36 19,200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My3	34	10,300	144	SW	41.3	0	2	0	2	2	1	7	My2	"
36 19.200 120 NNE 34.2 0 2 0 2 2 2 8 My3	35	254,900	592	NW	38.3	2	1	1	1	1	2	8	My2	"
	36	19,200	120	NNE	34.2	0	2	0	2	2	2	8	My3	"
37 182,700 400 NW 39.0 2 1 1 2 1 2 9 My2	37	182,700	400	NW	39.0	2	1	1	2	1	2	9	My2	"
38 195,100 446 NNW 36.2 2 1 2 2 2 2 11 My2	38	195,100	446	NNW	36.2	2	1	2	2	2	2	11	My2	"

表-1 崩壊地の解析結果 Table-2 Data used for microtonom-いう

\*1) 地質 Ry: 竜神層, H1~4: 花園層 (ユニット1~4), gc 花園層緑色岩チャート, My1~4: 美山層 (ユニット1~4)

表-2 前域事例の地すべり地形発達過程における検討結果 Conceptual map of Landslide developing history of slopes at micro-topography interpretation

先行研究		本研究										
大八木4)		新区分	地形的特徴の傾向	合計点数と箇所数	上段:具体的	は事例(それぞれ5箇所) /下	と:通しNo.と地形的特徴合計点 [先滑動期と後滑動期には崩壊事例がない]					
先滑動期	対象斜面に地すべりによる 変形や運動が全体のみな らず部分にも発生していな い期間。地質時代に形成さ れた地質構造が地表環境 下の諸営力によって変化 する。特定の構造や地層 が地すべい構造の基本的 な要素に移化する。	(御田に地すべ切による や運動が全体のみな 弱分にも先生していな 服・地質制ないます。 なするが、 出す構造がれた場合で、 はなり運動がにお成さ 上さく運動やしたが、 重要ないたまって変化 したり運動がにあれた。 た用数の にかした。 たの運動がにおいた これ以外の地形変化は認められない に、 し、		-	-	-	-	-				
渐移期	対象が運の一部につれらい 第8分が生じているが、変動 第8分が生じているが、変動 う難していない。全体の着 新となる構造がまだ形成さ れていない	漸移期 [前期]	初期的な重力変形によって生じる不 税期回応」未満備はさた、滑着 客・創方差が認められない、また明 確な地影的特徴が認められない、また明 確な地影的特徴が認められない、また 現在したので急激に不 安定化する全体の輪朝は不明瞭	合計数:1~3 箇所数:7								
		漸移期 [後期]	重力変形が明瞭化 不規則但白・小進地形。未環路運が 職者:消落當	合計数:3~7 箇所数:17	No.8(出影的转载合計)点)	No.13(地形的特徴合計4点)	N64(他自然的特徵合計4点)	NG-30(忠珍)村做 G1(品)	NG-(地路時外報 G1)5点)			
滑動期	対象利面の変動域構成株 とその下位の非変動域構成体 は非変動域内の強立している。 運動ででいる。近 一部であっている。 一部です。 の状態になっている。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 の状態になっている。 一部でする 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部です。 一部でする 一部です。 一部でする 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で 一で	滑動期	滑湾進 御方崖は明晩 移動体の 輪割は明原 老路体内のガリー地 形の進行が顕示 末端前差やこれに伴う所並紛終も 明確なことがら、納面内に不規則 凹凸や小塗が明瞭となる	合計数:4~12 箇所数:13	No.28(地形的持衛合計6点)	No.30(8.89)†@62)†6.6)	No.32(地形的持衛音計6点)	No.35(地形的特徵合計8点)	No.37(地形的特徵合計9点)			
後滑動期	対象斜面における地すべ り移動体が運動を完全に 停止し、移動体の移動、活 動中に形成された構造が 運動を停止(体積)下状態 において削剥される状態	後滑動期	滞落茎を含むが、一部消失している 滞落茎を含むが、一部消失している 増合もある エッジが消失すること がある、移動体の輪郭は羽隙、移 動体内のガリー地形の違行が顕著 移動体内の不規則に合いされ、 振動体内の不規則に合いされ、 ないたらか不明瞭しする。 料制が達 行するため、末端崩壊は明瞭なこと がある。	-	-	-	-	-	-			