## Validation of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density data observed by TROPOMI: Comparison with 4AZ-MAXDOAS

\*Hikaru Saito<sup>1</sup>, Hitoshi Irie<sup>1</sup>

## 1. University of Chiba

TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) aboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite has an unprecedented fine horizontal resolution of 7 km×3.5 km (at nadir) for tropospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO 2) column observations and is expected to improve estimation of emissions, including localized kilometer-scale emissions. However, previous studies reported that underestimation could occur in tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data from satellite observations, although its causes are still under discussion. The present study attempts to validate TROPOMI tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data to confirm that the underestimate occurs and clarify the causes. For this purpose, we used ground-based 4-different-azimuth-viewing Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (4AZ-MAXDOAS) installed at Chiba, Japan (35.63ºN, 140.10ºE, 21 m asl). The 4AZ-MAXDOAS observed tropospheric NO2 simultaneously in 4 different azimuth directions, enabling the evaluation of spatial inhomogeneity of NO<sub>2</sub>, which has been considered to be the major cause of the underestimate. From 4AZ-MAXDOAS data, we found that differences in tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data among 4 different azimuth directions reached up to 40%, indicating the existence of significant horizontal spatial inhomogeneity in NO<sub>2</sub> around the observation site. Then, we compared 4AZ-MAXDOAS data with coincident TROPOMI data. TROPOMI data showed an underestimation by up to about 50% compared to 4AZ-MAXDOAS data, confirming the underestimate in TROPOMI data. However, the correlation was not clear between the magnitude of the underestimate and the coefficient of variance in 4-azimuth data from 4AZ-MAXDOAS observations. This suggests that the observed underestimate cannot be explained only by the effect of the NO<sub>2</sub> spatial inhomogeneity. Instead, the underestimate should be attributed more significantly to the assumption made in the air mass factor calculation.

Keywords: Atmosphere, Satellite observation, Nitrogen dioxide