## Analysis of recombination mechanisms in KF-treated CIGS solar cells: Case of long-term Heat Light Soaking (HLS)

°(D) H. Tangara<sup>1</sup>, Y. He<sup>1</sup>, Md. M. Islam<sup>1</sup>, S. Ishizuka<sup>2</sup>, and T. Sakurai<sup>1</sup>

Univ. Tsukuba<sup>1</sup>, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)<sup>2</sup>

E-mail: s2030062@s.tsukuba.ac.jp

Heat light soaking (HLS) has been reported to boost the efficiency of CIGS cells by increasing the net acceptor concentration [1]. However, some negative effects of the method such as an increase of the recombination center density have also been reported [2]. Thus, a careful evaluation of the impact of HLS on the properties of CIGS devices is necessary. In this work, we analyze the impact of the HLS on the V<sub>oc</sub> loss mechanism in potassium treated (KF-PDT) CIGS solar cell devices using calculations based on the Shockley and Queisser detailed balance theory (SQ theory).

CIGS solar cells were deposited on Mo-coated soda-lime glass (SLG) by a three-stage co-evaporation process.[3] The [Ga]/([Ga]+[In]) (or GGI) was 0.4 in the first stage and changed to 0.25 in the third stage to modify the Ga gradient. HLS was performed under open-circuit conditions at 90° C in a dry nitrogen atmosphere using a combination of a metal-halide and a halogen lamp.

The I-V measurement shows a higher V<sub>OC</sub>, short circuit current (J<sub>SC</sub>), and fill factor (FF) leading to higher efficiency for the KF-treated sample compared to the untreated one. This may be due to an increase in the p-type conductivity as well [4,5] reduced interface recombination in treated samples.[6] Following HLS, a significant increase can be observed for all the parameters but J<sub>SC</sub>, which decreases slightly. The effect of HLS is less pronounced for the untreated sample. To further study the impact of HLS, we analyze the V<sub>OC</sub> loss due to nonradiative recombination in the bulk  $\Delta V_{OC}^{bulk}$  expressed as:

$$\Delta V_{\rm OC}^{\rm bulk} = V_{\rm OC}^{\rm rad} - V_{\rm OC}^{\rm bulk} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_0^{\rm rad}}{J_0} \right)$$
(1)

Here  $J_0$  represents the saturation current due to nonradiative recombination in the bulk.  $J_0^{rad}$  is the dark saturation current in the radiative limit and can be calculated as:

$$J_{0}^{rad} = \frac{qWn_{i}^{2}B}{n_{r}^{2}} = \frac{qWn_{i}^{2}}{n_{r}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{N_{A}\tau_{rad}}\right)$$
(2)

where W is the films thickness,  $n_i$  the intrinsic carrier concentration,  $n_r$  the refraction index, B the radiative recombination constant,  $N_A$  the net acceptor concentration and  $\tau_{rad}$  is the radiative lifetime. In non-ideal case,  $\tau_{rad}$  is substituted to the minority carrier effective lifetime  $\tau_{eff}$  yielding the value of  $J_0$ . The total  $V_{OC}$  loss ( $\Delta V_{OC}^{total}$ ) is given by the difference ( $V_{OC}^{rad}$ - $V_{OC}^{exp}$ ) where  $V_{OC}^{exp}$  is the experimental  $V_{OC}$  values. Fig.1 compares the JV curves in the SQ, radiative, bulk limits, and experimental results for the treated and

untreated sample before and after HLS. The results show that although the total  $V_{OC}$  loss ( $\Delta V_{OC}^{total}$ ) decrease after HLS,  $\Delta V_{OC}^{bulk}$  increase following indicating a sharp decrease of interface recombination. In contrast to the treated one, the untreated sample shows only a slight decrease in the  $\Delta V_{OC}^{total}$  and a drastic increase in  $\Delta V_{OC}^{bulk}$ . The presence of ( $V_{Se}$ - $V_{Cu}$ ) in acceptor configuration [2] or antisite  $In_{Cu}$  [7] have been proposed as possible origins of the increased bulk recombination.

KF-treated sample shows mitigation of the detrimental effects of HLS resulting in an improvement of 0.8% of the efficiency(from 21.2% to 22.0%) whereas no improvement was observed for the untreated sample. Therefore, a combination of HLS and KF/NaF-PDT can be used to achieve high-efficiency CIGS solar cells.



Fig. 1: J-V curves in the SQ, radiative and bulk limits, and experimental results for a) KFtreated sample and b) untreated sample before (dashed lines) and after

## Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Dr. Jiro Nishinaga (AIST) for providing the samples and the experimental data for HLS. This work was partially supported by NEDO under the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI)

## References

- [1] S. Heise et al. Sol. Energ. Mater. Sol. Cells 163,270 (2017).
- [2] S. Lany and A. Zunger, J. Appl. Phys., 100, 113725 (2006).
- [3] J. Nishinaga et al. App. Phys. Express, 10, 092301 (2017).
- [4] A. Laemmle et al. Phys. Status Solid (RRL),7,631 (2013).
- [5] D. Rudmann et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. ,84,1129 (2004).
- [6] F. Pianezzi et al. Phys. Chem Chem Phys., 16,88431 (2014).
- [7] M. Igalson and H.W. Schock. J. Appl. Phys., 80, 5765 (1996).