Extended Abstracts of the 21st Conference on Solid State Devices and Materials, Tokyo, 1989, pp. 281-284

Planar-Doped AlInAs/GaInAs HIFET

H. Shibata, H. Ishikawa and M. Kamada

SONY Corporation Research Center Fujitsuka, Hodogaya, Yokohama 240

Planar-doped AlInAs/GaInAs heterostructures were grown by atmospheric-pressure MOCVD. The planar-doping method produces thinner AlInAs layers and more uniform doping concentrations than the conventional doping. A 1 μ m gate length HIFET (hetero-interface FET) showed a transconductance as high as 780mS/mm at room temperature. It was demonstrated that the distribution of threshold voltages for planardoped HIFETs was better than that for the conventional HIFETs.

1. Introduction

We previously reported the first MOCVD growth of selectively-doped n-AlInAs/ GaInAs heterostructures $(SDHs)^{1}$. HIFETs using these heterostructures showed superior FET characteristics^{2,3}, however, better performance and uniform distribution of threshold voltages (Vths) are desirable for application to ICs. In this paper, we report the planar doping of AlInAs layers in HIFETs and a uniform Vth distribution, in addition to very high transconductance.

By introducing planar doping, we can make the AlInAs barrier layer thinner, resulting in a higher transconductance. Another advantage of planar doping is that uniform carrier concentration in the wafer is easily obtained. In the conventional HIFET using conventional SDHs, the distribution of Vth is determined by two factors: the thickness distribution and the doping density distribution of the n-AlInAs layer. In our case³, however, the distribution of the doping density mainly contribute to the distribution of Vth. Uniform doping can be obtained and this improves the distribution of Vths.

2. Planar doping to AlInAs/GaInAs heterostructures

AlInAs and GaInAs layers latticematched to InP were grown by atmosphericpressure MOCVD on 2-inch diameter Fedoped semi-insulatating (100) InP substrates. The substrates were rotated during the growth to obtain good uniformity of the epitaxial layers. Si₂H₆ (5ppm/H₂) was used for n-type doping to AlInAs layers. Figure 1 shows the relationship between the sheetcarrier concentration and Si₂H₆ supply, which equals the flow rate times the doping period. Two planar-doped layers sandwiched between undoped AlInAs layers are shown in the cross-sectional view in Fig. 1. The sheetcarrier concentrations (n_s) increased linearly as Si₂H₆ increased and saturated at over 500scc. We also observed the difference in the distribution of the doping concentrations between the linear region and the saturation region. In the saturation region, the sheetcarrier concentration was almost uniform. The ratio of n_s at the center to n_s at the edge was 0.95. In the linear region, on the other hand, the ratio was 0.65, which was nearly the same in our case of conventional doping.

The saturation region was used for planar doping to AlInAs layers in SDHs.

Figure 2 shows the cross-sectional view of the planar-doped SDH. The undoped GaInAs channel layer was 1000Å thick and the spacer layer thickness ranged from 10Å to 100Å. The top undoped AlInAs layer was 150Å thick.



Fig. 1 Relationship between the sheet-carrier concentration and Si_2H_6 flow supply.

planar-doped layer



Fig. 2 Cross-sectional view of the planardoped AlInAs/GaInAs SDH.

To compare the mobility of the twodimensional electron gas in the planar-doped SDHs with different spacer-layer thicknesses, we etched the top AlInAs layers of each SDH by chemical etching to obtain SDHs with the same sheet carrier concentration of 2.3 X 10^{12} cm⁻². Figure 3 shows the relation between the electron mobility at room temperature and the spacer thickness at the sheet-carrier concentration of 2.3 X 10^{12} cm⁻². The highest electron mobility was 11500 cm²/V·s when the spacer layer was 100Å thick. We observed a significant decrease in electron mobility when the thickness of the spacer was reduced. The mobility in the planar-doped SDH with 10Å thick spacer layer was only 3300 cm²/V·s.



Fig. 3 Relation between electron mobility and spacer thickness at the sheet-carrier concentration of 2.3×10^{12} cm⁻².

3. Planar-doped HIFETs

The fabrication of the HIFET started with mesa etching for device isolation. Sourcedrain ohmic contacts were formed by depositing AuGe/Au, followed by sintering in an H_2/N_2 atmosphere (H_2 4%) at 340 °C. Ti/Pt/Au gate metals were evaporated and lifted off using conventional lithography.

Figure 4 shows the typical FET characteristics of a 1.0μ m-gate HIFET operated at room temperature. The HIFET shows good pinch-off characteristics. The maximum transconductance (gm max.),

maximum K value (K max.) and threshold voltage (Vth) are summarized in Table I for HIFETs with different spacer layer thicknesses. All the HIFETs showed transconductance above 700mS/mm with a weak dependence on spacer layer thickness. The HIFET with the thinnest spacer layer shows a little higher gm max. This contrasted with the fact that the electron mobility drastically decreased as the spacer thickness decreased, as is seen in Fig.3, and implies that the saturation velocity does not seriously decrease as the spacer layer thickness decreases. The increase of the gate capacitance as the spacer layer thickness decreases may be the origin of the high gmmax. in HIFETs with a thin spacer layer.



Fig. 4 FET characteristics of a HIFET operated at room-temperature. Lg=1 μ m, Wg=20 μ m; maximum gate votage was 0V.

Table. I FET characteristics of HIFETs operated at room-temperature.

Spacer thickness	100Å	50Å	10Å
max.gm(mS/mm)	720	750	780
max.K(mS/Vmm)	1150	1025	900
Vth(V)	-0.60	-1.0	-0.8

4. Distribution of the threshold voltages

Figure 5 shows the mapping of Vth of the planar-doped HIFETs and the conventional

HIFETs. The average Vth and its standard deviation of the planar-doped HIFET were -0. 98V and 0.11V, respectively, and the average Vth and its standard deviation of the coventional HIFETs were -2.07V and 0.49V, respectively. Both maps showed point symmetrical patterns, representing rotation effect of the substrates during the growth. The Vth for the planar-doped HIFET decreased along the radial direction, but the Vth for the conventional HIFET increased. In the conventional HIFETs, the distribution of Vth is determined by two factors: the thickness of the n-AlInAs layer (d) and the doping concentration of the layer (Nd). Vth becomes higher with decreasing d and becomes lower with increasing Nd. We have observed that d in the center of the wafer is thicker than that on the edge and that Nd in the center is smaller than that on the edge³⁾. Figure 5 shows that Vth in the center was higher than at the edge. This result shows that the distribution of Nd mainly contributes to the distribution of Vth in the conventional HIFETs. In the planar-doped HIFETs, on the other hand, the doping concentration was very uniform because of the use of the saturation region shown in Fig.1. We expected that the distribution of d would mainly contribute to the distribution of Vths. In fact, Fig.5 shows that Vth in the center was lower than that at the edge, confirming our expectation. In this way, planar doping can eliminate the influence of doping fluctuations on the distribution of Vth.





Fig. 5 Distribution maps of the Vth on the quater of 2inch wafer of the planardoped HIFETs and the conventional HIFETs.

5. Conclusion

Planar-doped AlInAs/GaInAs heterostructures were grown by atmosphericpressure MOCVD. Electron mobility as high as 11500cm/Vs at room temperature with a sheet carrier concentration of 2.3x10¹² cm⁻² was obtained. The doping concentration in the planar-doped layers saturated as the doping gas supply was increases, and very uniform doping was realized by using the saturation effect. The introduction of planardoping to SDHs improved the distribution of Vth. We fabricated 1µm-gate length HIFETs using the planar-doped SDHs, and they showed good pinch-off characteristics. A maximum transconductance of 780 mS/mm was obtained for a HIFET with 10Å spacer thickness. The electron mobility drastically decreased as the spacer layer thickness was reduced, but there was little change in the maximum transcondactance of the HIFETs with different spacer layer thicknesses. We conclude that the saturation velocity does not seriously change as spacer layer thickness is reduced.

6. References

 M. Kamada, H. Ishikawa, M. Ikeda, Y. Mori and C. Kojima, : Proc. 13th Intern. Symp. on

GaAs amd Related Compounds, Las Vegas, NV, 1986, Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.

- M. Kamada, T. Kobayashi, H. Ishikawa,
 Y. Mori, K. Kaneko and C. Kojima, Electron. Letters <u>23</u> (1987) 297.
- Y. Mori and M. Kamada, J. Crystal Growth <u>93</u> (1988) 892.