The Valence Band Alignment at Ultra-Thin SiO₂/Si(100) Interfaces Determined by High-Resolution X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

J. L. Alay, M. Fukuda*, K. Nakagawa*, S. Yokoyama, and M. Hirose*

Research Center for Integrated Systems, Hiroshima University *Department of Electrical Engineering, Hiroshima University 1-4-2 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739, Japan

The valence band alignment at ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(100) interfaces has been determined by high-resolution x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The energy shifts found in the Si2p and O1s core-level peaks induced by differential charging effects between the oxide layer and the Si bulk can reach a maximum value of 330 meV. After correction of the energy scale to account for the charging effects, the valence band density of states for ultra-thin (1.6 - 3.5 nm thick) SiO₂ has been obtained by subtracting the bulk Si contribution from the measured spectrum. Using this method a constant value of 4.49 \pm 0.10 eV is obtained for the valence band alignment at ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(100) interfaces regardless of oxide thickness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thickness and uniformity control of gate oxides are becoming very important for manufacturing advanced MOSFETs. It is especially interesting to obtain the accurate Valence Band Density of States (VBDOS) for ultra-thin SiO₂ grown on Si(100) and the value of the valence band alignment or the conduction band barrier height at the SiO₂/Si(100) interfaces. Previous studies on ultra-thin SiO₂/Si barrier heights have lead to a variety of results that sometimes are not coincident or even contradictory. Dressendorfer and Barker¹⁾ obtained a constant barrier height with a value of about 3.0 eV for oxides thicker than 4.0 nm from photoemission measurements. Also Heike et al.²⁾ reported a constant value of 2.75 eV for very thin oxides grown on Si(100) substrates irrespective of the oxide thickness by using electron-beam assisted scanning tunneling spectroscopy. However, from tunneling current measurements on oxides grown on Si(100) substrates, Horiguchi and Yoshino³⁾ found that the barrier height was oxide thickness dependent, varying from 1.8 to 3.0 eV for oxide thicknesses ranging from 1.5 to 4.0 nm. Our recent highresolution XPS studies on ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(111) interfaces have revealed that the structure of the VBDOS including the position of the top of the valence band for ultra-thin oxides (1.8 - 3.7 nm) is almost identical to that of a thick oxide (about 40 nm), and that the valence band alignment has a value of 4.36 ± 0.10 eV regardless of the oxide thickness.4)

The purpose of the present study is to determine the VBDOS and the band alignment in the case of ultrathin oxides thermally grown on Si(100) substrates by using high resolution x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy because of the importance of the $SiO_2/Si(100)$ system in ULSI technology.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The XPS measurements were performed using a Scienta ESCA-300 instrument with monochromatic AlKa radiation and an acceptance angle of 3.3 deg. The Si2p peak and the valence band region were measured at a take-off angle of 35 deg to enhance signal from the ultrathin SiO₂/Si(100) interfaces. Angle resolved XPS measurements were also performed to determine the oxide thicknesses using escape depths of 2.7 and 3.4 nm in Si and SiO₂, respectively.⁵⁾ The Si2p core-level peaks have been deconvoluted into the $Si2p_{3/2}$ and $Si2p_{1/2}$ components with an intensity ratio of 0.5 and a separation of 0.61 eV. Ultra-thin oxides were thermally grown on hydrogen-terminated, p-type Si(100) substrates (10 Ω cm) obtained by RCA cleaning followed by a treatment in a 1% HF solution. The oxidation was carried out at 1000°C in a 2 % dry O₂ ambient diluted with N₂. The oxidation time was varied from 0 to 10 min (40 min in the case of thick reference oxide). Here, 0 min oxidation means simple loading of the wafer into the high temperature zone of the furnace.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The oxide thickness d_{ox} as a function of oxidation time t obeys the following logarithmic law: $d_{ox}(nm) = 1.58 + 1.82 \log(t+1)$ as in the case of the SiO₂/Si(111) system, although the oxidation rate for Si(111) is slightly faster due to the different atomic density between both crystalline orientations (Fig. 1).

The deconvolution of the Si2p core-level peak indicates that the Si⁴⁺2p_{3/2} peak shifts towards higher binding energies and O1s also exhibits a similar shift when the oxide thickness increases as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 : Oxide thickness as a function of oxidation time for Si(100).

The Si⁴⁺²p_{3/2} energy shift is at most 333 meV, while the Si⁰2p_{3/2} shows a much smaller shift of only about 40 meV (Fig. 3). Moreover, the energy shift for the Si⁴⁺²p_{3/2} peak coincides with the one measured for the O1s peak. This behaviour is a reflection of a differential charging effect between the oxide layer and the Si(100) substrate by which SiO₂ shows a stronger band bending than Si due to the difficulty to compensate photoelectrons emitted from the oxide. The same effect has been observed in the case of SiO₂/Si(111) and a detailed description of the charging mechanism can be found in Ref. 4.



Fig. 2 : $Si^{4+}2p_{3/2}$ and O1s core-level binding energy as a function of oxide thickness.



Fig. 3 : $Si^02p_{3/2}$ core-level binding energy as a function of oxide thickness.

The VBDOS measured for the thin SiO₂/Si(100) interfaces as well as for a thick SiO₂ (about 40 nm) and H-terminated Si(100) references are compared in Fig. 4, after correcting the energy scale for the above mentioned charging effects by taking the binding energy of Si2p and O1s for the thinnest oxide (1.6 nm) as the energy reference for all oxides. The VBDOS of the SiO₂/Si(100) interface can be described as a linear combination of the H-terminated Si(100) and pure SiO₂ VBDOS.⁶⁾ In the energy region between 0 and 5 eV the ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(100) interface VBDOS only contains the signal arising from substrate Si3p molecular orbitals.



Fig. 4 : VBDOS for various ultra-thin $SiO_2/Si(100)$ interfaces, HF-treated Si(100) reference surface and thick (40 nm) reference SiO_2 on Si(100).



Fig. 5 : VBDOS for various ultra-thin SiO₂ grown on Si(100) substrates.

For energies between 5 and 10 eV the band is dominated by signal from non-bonding O2p and hybrid Si3p-Si3s orbitals. The high energy region from 10 to 17 eV is mostly formed by bonding Si3p- and Si3s-O2p orbitals.

Figure 5 shows the ultra-thin oxide VBDOS after subtracting the Si(100) reference VBDOS from the measured SiO₂/Si(100) VBDOS. Thus obtained VBDOS profiles for the ultra-thin oxides show no significant difference as compared to the thick SiO₂ reference and are basically identical for all oxide thicknesses. From these VBDOS spectra a value for the ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(100) valence band alignment of 4.49 ± 0.10 eV is obtained regardless of the oxide thickness. This value is 0.13 eV higher than the one found for the SiO₂/Si(111) interface. This difference could be partly explained by the different built-in stress at the SiO₂/Si(100) and SiO₂/Si(111) interfaces.⁷⁾ Using a reported value of the SiO₂ band gap of 8.80 eV the conduction band barrier height is estimated to be 3.19 eV for the ultra-thin SiO₂/Si(100) system.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The valence band alignment for ultra-thin $SiO_2/Si(100)$ interfaces with oxide thicknesses from 1.6 to 3.5 nm has been determined to be 4.49 eV. It is demonstrated that the value of the band alignment is constant regardless of oxide thickness. Also, the VBDOS profile of ultra-thin oxides does not show any significant difference compared with that of a thick oxide.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of the authors (Josep-Lluís Alay) would like to thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for supporting this research work.

REFERENCES

- P. V. Dressendorfer and R. C. Barker, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>36</u> (1980) 933.
- 2) S. Heike, Y. Wada, S. Kondo, M. Lutwyche, K. Murayama and H. Kuroda, *Ext. Abstr. Int. Conf. Solid State Devices and Materials*, 1994, Yokohama (Business Center for Academic Societies Japan, Tokyo, 1994) p. 40.
- S. Horiguchi and H. Yoshino, J. Appl. Phys. <u>58</u> (1985) 1597.
- 4) J. L. Alay, M. Fukuda, C. Bjorkman, K. Nakagawa, S. Yokoyama, S. Sasaki, and M. Hirose, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. <u>34</u> (1995) L653.
- N. Terada, T. Haga, N. Miyata, K. Moriki, M. Fujisawa, M. Morita, T. Ohmi and T. Hattori, Phys. Rev. B <u>38</u> (1992) 2312.
- J. L. Alay and W. Vandervorst, Phys. Rev. B <u>50</u> (1994) 15015.
- T. Yamazaki, C. Bjorkman, S. Miyazaki, and M. Hirose, Proc. of the 22nd Intern. Conf. on The Physics of Semiconductors, vol.3, (1994) p. 2653.