Electronic Transport Properties of C₆₀, C₉₀ and Gd@C₈₂ Fullerene-Carbon Nanotube Peapods

Takashi Shimada, Toshiya Okazaki, Yutaka Ohno¹, Kazutomo Suenaga², Shinya Iwatsuki¹, Shigeru Kishimoto¹, Takashi Mizutani¹, Risa Taniguchi, Takashi Inoue, Toshiki Sugai, and Hisanori Shinohara

Department of Chemistry, Nagoya University. Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya-464-8602, Japan Phone: +81-52-789-2482 Fax: +81-52-789-1169 E-mail: noris@cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp ¹Department of Quantum Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan ²National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 1-1-1 Higashi, Tsukuba 305-8565, Japan

1. Introduction

Single wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) encaging fullerenes [1], the so-called peapods, have attracted much interests due to their structural and electronic properties. By varying the encapsulated fullerene molecules, tunable electronic properties of the material have been achieved. Recently, low-temperature scanning tunneling microscopy (LT-STS) studies have such electronic properties [2]. The bandgap of a semiconductor SWNT is dramatically reduced from 0.5 to 0.1 eV at the site of the inserted Gd@C₈₂ metallofullerenes. This founding has important implications for the fabrication of SWNTs-based molecular devices such as diodes and transistors.

In this study, we report electron transport properties of fullerene and metallofullerene peapods by using them as channels the field-effect of transistors (FETs). C60-peapods-FETs and C90-peapods-FETs show p-type behavior, which is the same behavior as the semiconducting SWNTs-FETs, whereas Gd@C₈₂peapods-FETs show ambipolar (both p-type and n-type) behavior by tuning gate bias voltages.

2. Experiment

SWNTs were generated by the pulsed laser ablation of carbon target containing Co-Ni metal catalyst at 1250 °C. The diameter of the SWNTs produced under this condition is mainly in the region of 1.4-1.5 nm. The fullerenes were generated by DC-arc discharge of carbon rod containing metal, and were separated by the multistage high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [3]. The method for producing peapods was reported previously [4, 5]. The doping of C_{60} , C_{90} and $Gd@C_{82}$ into the SWNTs was carried out at 450-500 °C for 48 hours. The structure of the produced peapods were examined with a high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) operated at 120 kV (JEOL JEM-2010F). Fig. 1 shows a typical image of C_{90} -peapod. According to the HRTEM observation, yields of C_{60^-} , C_{90^-} and $Gd@C_{82^-}$ peapods were estimated to be >70, ~10 and >70%, respectively.



Fig. 1 Room temperature HRTEM image of a C_{90} -peapod, showing the SWNT and encaged C_{90} molecules.

The FETs were fabricated on an SiO₂ insulating layer (100 nm) on top of heavily doped silicon substrate. Source and drain electrodes were formed by electron beam lithography, metal evaporation (Ti/Au 3/15 nm) and lift-off process. Heavily doped silicon substrate was used as a back gate. The back-gate electrode was formed by metal evaporation (Ti/Au 100/300 nm). The peapods were dispersed in 1, 2-dichloroethane or dry N, N-dimethylformamide solutions and dropped on the substrate.

All measurements were carried out at 23 K. After these measurements, we used scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6340F) and atomic force microscope (AFM, SII SPI-3700) for imaging these peapods devices.

3. Results & Discussion

Fig. 2 shows drain current versus gate voltage (I_D-V_{GS}) characteristics of a C₆₀-peapods-FET and C₉₀-peapods-FET. As is the case for the SWNTs-FETs [6], the conductance increases as V_{GS} decreases, implying that hole transport occurs through the valence band (*p*-type). In contrast to C₆₀-peapods-FETs, C₉₀-peapods-FETs are not pinch-off. This suggests the coexistence of unfilled metallic SWNTs and metallic C₉₀-peapods.



Fig.2 I_D - V_{GS} curves of C₆₀-peapods-FET (black line, $V_{DS} = 20 \text{ mV}$) and C₉₀-peapods-FET (gray line, $V_{DS} = 100 \text{ mV}$) at T = 23 K.



Fig.3 $I_{\rm D}$ - $V_{\rm GS}$ curve of Gd@C₈₂-peapods-FET at $V_{\rm DS}$ = 20 mV, 23 K. Inset: AFM image of the Gd@C₈₂-peapods-FET used in this measurement. The bundle rope of Gd@C₈₂-peapods consists of about 10 of individual peapod. The distance of between electrodes is 200 nm and width is 400 nm

Fig. 3 shows $I_{\rm D}$ - $V_{\rm GS}$ characteristics for a Gd@C₈₂ -peapods-FET. Starting from a positive gate voltage, $I_{\rm D}$ decreases first, then becomes three orders of magnitudes smaller, and finally increases again. This indicates that Gd@C₈₂ peapods exhibit ambipolar FET behavior with

both *n*- and *p*-channels easily accessible by electrostatic gates. Such ambipolar behavior has not been so far observed in C_{60} - and C_{90} -peapods-FET.

In Gd@C₈₂, the endohedral Gd atom is in +3 valence state as revealed by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) [7]. Hence, C_{82} fullerene cage have -3 valence state. This negatively charged C_{82} cage significantly alters the electronic state of the SWNTs. This effect has been confirmed as the bandgap narrowing by LT-STS [2]. For example, a bandgap of 0.5 eV is reduced to 0.1 eV at sites where Gd@C₈₂ are encaged. In Gd@C₈₂-peapods-FETs, such a small bandgap can induce both hole and electron carrier transport by tuning gate voltages.

4. Conclusions

We have investigated the electric characteristics of C_{60} and C_{90} -peapods and $Gd@C_{82}$ -peapods. These fullerene-peapods show *p*-type conduction, while the metallofullerene-peapods show ambipolar both *p*- and *n*-type conduction behaviors depending on gate bias. The difference in these transport properties stems from the bandgap narrowing by insertion of metallofullerene to SWNTs.

References

- B. W. Smith, M. Monthioux, and D. E. Luzzi, Nature 396, 323 (1998)
- [2] J. Lee, H. Kim, S. -J. Kahng, G. Kim, Y. -W. Son, J. Ihm, H. Kato, Z. W. Wang, T. Okazaki, H. Shinohara, and Y. Kuk, Nature 415, 1005 (2002)
- [3] H. Shinohara, Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 843 (2000)
- [4] K. Suenaga, M. Tence, C. Mory, C. Colliex, H. Kato, T. Okazaki, H. Shinohara, K. Hirahara, S. Bandow, and S. Iijima, Science 290, 2280 (2000)
- [5] K. Hirahara, S. Bandow, K. Suenaga, H. Kato, T. Okazaki, H. Shinohara, and S. Iijima, Phys. Rev. B 64, 115420 (2000)
- [6] For example, K. Liu, M. Burghard, S. Roth, and P. Bernier, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75, 2494 (1999)
- [7] K. Suenaga, S. Iijima, H. Kato, and H. Shinohara, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1627 (2002)