Effect of nano-grain on the memory characteristics of high-k HfAlO charge trapping layers for nano-scale non-volatile memory device applications

T.-Y. Wang¹, S. Maikap^{2,4}, P. J. Tzeng³, D. Panda², L. S. Lee³, M.-J. Tsai³ and J.-R. Yang¹

¹Department of Material Science Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Department of Electronic Engineering, Chang Gung University, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan, R.O.C.

³Electronics and Optoelectronics Research Laboratories, Industrial Technology Research Institute, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R. O. C.

⁴Corresponding author: Tel: 886-3-2118800 ext. 5785 Fax: 886-3-2118507 E-mail: <u>sidhu@mail.cgu.edu.tw</u>

1. Introduction

Nanocrystal floating gate memory devices have been reported extensively and it has uniformity problem [1]. Polysilicon-oxide -silicon-nitride (Si₃N₄)-oxide-silicon (SONOS) flash memory devices have been also reported, but it has poor retention and scaling issue [2]. High-k charge trapping layers such as HfAlO or HfO2 films in metal-oxide-high-ĸ-oxide-silicon (MOHOS) structure are demanded in the semiconductor industry for future nano-scale non-volatile memory (NVM) device applications [3-6]. To get a high performance flash memory devices, the HfAlO charge trapping layer with an Al₂O₃ blocking oxide in metal-Al₂O₃-high-κ-SiO₂-Si (MAHOS) structure formed by atomic layer deposition (ALD) has been proposed. In this study, the superior memory characteristics of HfAlO charge trapping layers have been investigated, for the first time, due to charge storage in the nano-grains. The term nano-grain is defined the grain which is isolated by other gains and the size is in nano-scale. The pure HfO2 and Al2O3 charge trapping layers have been also studied for comparison.

2. Experimental

A p-type Si (100) wafer with a resistivity of 15-25 Ω .cm was cleaned by the RCA process. After cleaning the wafer, a high quality tunneling oxide of SiO₂ having a thickness of 3 nm was grown by RTO system at a temperature of 1000°C for 20s. Then, the high-ĸ HfO2 and Al₂O₃ films were deposited by ALD using hafnium tetrachloride (HfCl₄) and trimethylaluminium [Al(CH₃)₃] precursors, respectively, at substrate temperature of 300°C. The thickness of HfAlO charge trapping layer was ~ 10 nm. Then, the Al₂O₃ blocking oxide with a thickness of ~10 nm was deposited in-situ on HfAlO charge trapping layers. For comparison, the HfO2 charge trapping layer with a thickness of ~10 nm and the Al2O3 blocking oxide with a thickness of ~10 nm were grown. The Al₂O₃ charge trapping layer with a thickness of ~20 nm was deposited on SiO₂ (~3 nm-thick) treated p-Si substrate for comparison. The hysteresis memory windows were very small for as-deposited films. To get high charge trapping characteristics as well as large hysteresis memory window, the post deposition annealing (PDA) treatment at 900°C for 1 min in N2 ambient has been performed. To study the memory characteristics, the platinum (Pt) metal as a gate electrode (area: 1.12x10⁻⁴ cm²) was deposited by sputtering using shadow mask. The post metal annealing with a temperature of 400°C and 5 min was done using forming gas ambient. The microstructure characteristics of memory devices were carried out using FEI Tecnai F30 field emission gun TEM equipped with EDS detector for high-resolution image and compositional analysis.

3. Results and discussion

Fig 1 shows the HRTEM images of pure Al_2O_3 and HfAlO charge trapping layers at PDA treatment of 900°C for 1 min in N_2 ambient. After the PDA process, the pure HfAlO or HfO₂ film shows polycrystalline and the Al_2O_3 film shows partial crystalline. All memory structures show amorphous for as-deposited samples. After the annealing process, the thickness of tunneling oxide for pure Al_2O_3 film has been increased (~3 to ~4.3 nm) and it may be due to inter-diffusion between SiO₂ and Al_2O_3 layers [Fig. 1(a)]. The thickness of Al_2O_3 film has been decreased (~20 to ~17 nm), due to den

sification of high-k films after annealing treatment. The thickness of HfAlO charge trapping layer has been decreased (~10 to ~8 nm) and the thickness of Al_2O_3 blocking oxide has been increased (~10 to ~12 nm) [Fig. 1(b)], indicating that the diffusion velocity of Al in Al_2O_3 to HfAlO direction is faster than that of Hf (and Al) in HfAlO to Al₂O₃ direction causing the interface moving toward HfAlO film. The composition ratio of Hf:Al:O measured by EDS is found to be 1.3:1.0:3.0 (Fig. 2). A large C-V hysteresis memory window of ~8.6 $V@V_{g}=\pm 16V$ with a small capacitance equivalent thickness of ~8 nm has been observed for HfAlO memory devices (Fig. 3). A maximum hysteresis memory window of HfAlO charge trapping layer is higher as compared with pure HfO₂ and Al₂O₃ charge trapping layers (Fig. 4), due to the charge storage in nano-grains. A high trapped charge density is calculated using this equation: $Q_{charge} = (+V_{FB}-V_{FBN})*C_{ox}]/(q,A)=1.2x10^{13}/cm^2$, where C_{ox} is the capacitance at accumulation region and 'A' is the gate area. The hysteresis memory windows are found to be 4.8 V, 3.9 V and $1.4 \text{ V} @V_s = \pm 10 \text{ V}$ for pure HfO₂, HfAlO and Al₂O₃ memory devices, respectively. It indicates that an operation voltage of the HfAlO or HfO₂ memory devices is smaller as compared with a pure Al₂O₃ device, due to smaller conduction band offset $[(\Delta E_c)_{HfO2} \sim 1.7 \text{ eV} \text{ and } (\Delta E_c)_{Al2O3} \sim 2.8$ eV)]. The HfAlO devices show similar memoy window to the pure HfO₂ layers at low gate voltage and it can be used for high-speed memory device applications. Fig. 5 shows the plan view TEM of nano-grains with diameter of 15-20 nm with an uniform size. The HfAlO nano-grains have been observed by SAED pattern (Fig. 6). Schematic band diagrams of HfAlO charge storage layers under program/erase mode are shown in Fig. 7. It indicates that the charge can be stored in the nano-grains which is similar to charge storage in the nano-crystals. The HfAlO charge trapping layer has lower backward tunneling current (similar to pure Al₂O₃ film) and it can be easily erased than that of pure HfO₂ film (Fig. 8). The HfAlO charge trapping layers can be operated up to large gate voltage of ~18V. The HfAlO memory devices have superior retention as compared with a pure HfO₂ memory device (Fig. 9). The retention characteristics of HfAlO charge trapping layers is shown in Fig. 10. An excellent retention is observed on 10 years of projection. A large memory window of ~5.7 V is observed after 10 years of retention, due to charge storage in nano-grains. The HfAlO memory device has low charge loss of ~25%. Due to large memory window and long retention of the HfAlO charge trapping layers, it can be used in future multi-level charge (MLC) storage nano-scale memory device applications.

4. Conclusions

The HfAlO charge trapping layers with an Al_2O_3 blocking oxide have large memory window with an excellent retention characteristics. The superior memory characteristics of the HfAlO charge trapping layers have been observed due to charge storage in the nano-grains and it can be used in the semiconductor market for future high-performance nano-scale flash memory device applications.

References

Y. Q. Wang, et al., IEDM Tech. Dig., P. 169, 2005. [2] J.-R. Hwang, et al., IEDM
Tech. Dig., p. 161, 2005. [3] S. Maikap, et al., Int'l Symp. on VLSI-TSA, p.36, 2006.
C. H. Lee, et. al., App. Phys. Lett. Vol. 86, p.152908,2005. [5] S. Maikap, et al.,
SSDM, p. 984, 2006. [6] S. Maikap, et al., Int'l Symp. on VLSI-TSA, p. 18, 2007.



AI Hf Count (a.u.) 0 Hf Hf 0 15 5 10 20 Energy (keV)

Fig. 1 Cross-sectional TEM images of (a) pure Al₂O₃ and (b) HfAlO charge trapping layers with an Al₂O₃ blocking oxide.



Fig. 3 A large C-V (1MHz) hysteresis memory window is observed for HfAlO charge trapping layer. The hold time was 100 ms during C-V measurement.



Glue

l₂O₃~12 nm

~3 nm

Fig. 4 Hysteresis memory window versus sweeping gate voltage for all memory devices.

Fig. 2 EDS spectrum of the HfAlO charge trapping layers.



Fig. 5 Plan view TEM on HfAlO layer in p-Si/SiO₂/HfAlO/Al₂O₃ structure shows nano-grains. A single nano-grain is observed in HfAlO layer in the inset.

Metal





Fig. 6 Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern on the HfAlO nanolayers.

Fig. 7 Schematic energy band diagrams of p-Si/SiO₂/HfAlO nano-grain/Al₂O₃ /Pt memory structure are shown under the devices (a) program mode and (b) erase mode. The high-ĸ Al₂O₃ as a blocking oxide has been used to suppress the back tunneling current and to increase the program/erase speed.



C(t)/C(t=0) Al₂O₂ - HfO, [5] 0.9 **HfAIO** 0.976 10 10¹ 10² 10³ 10⁴ 10⁵ Time (s)

Fig. 8 The leakage current of HfAlO charge trapping layer is similar with pure Al₂O₃ charge trapping layers up to gate voltage of -18 V.

Fig. 9 Capacitance versus elapsed time (C-t) characteristics of all memory devices. All charge trapping devices were stressed with Vg-VFBN=6V, 60s before C-t measurement.



Fig. 10 Retention characteristics of HfAlO charge trapping layers. A large memory window of ~5.7 V is observed after 10 years of retention.