# P-3-13

# Extraction of Vertical, Lateral locations and Energies of Hot-Electrons-Induced Traps Through the Random Telegraph Noise

Daewoong Kang<sup>1</sup>, Seungwon Yang<sup>1</sup>, Jinho Kim<sup>2</sup>, Duckhyung Lee<sup>2</sup>, Byung-Gook Park<sup>1</sup>, Younghwan Son<sup>1</sup>, Jong Duk Lee<sup>1</sup>, and Hyungcheol Shin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Inter-University Semiconductor Research Center (ISRC) and School of Electrical Engineering, Seoul National

University, San 56-1, Shinlim-dong, Kwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Korea

<sup>2</sup>CIS PA, LSI Division, Samsung Electronics Co.Ltd.,

San #24, Nongseo-dong, Giheung-gu, Yongin-city, Gyeonggi-do, 449-711, Korea

### Introduction

The influence of hot-carrier injection on the performance of MOS transistors has been studied during the last decade. The trap depth and energy were roughly extracted using trapping and de-trapping electrons of RTS noise after hot carrier stress [1-2]. In this paper, we extracted not only trap depth  $(x_T)$  and trap energy  $(E_{Cox}-E_T)$  but also lateral location  $(y_T)$  using accurate equations.

#### **Experimental Data and Discusison**

The deep-submicrometer MOSFET's used in this study were fabricated using a photoresist-ashing, dry etch, and plasma deposition in a 90 nm CMOS technology. The oxide thickness is 7 nm and substrate doping density is  $5 \times 10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The drain current fluctuations were measured by an 35670A spectrum analyzer at room temperature. Fig. 1 shows the typical current fluctuations of nMOSFET with L<sub>eff</sub>=270 nm and 390 nm. No current fluctuation was founded before stressing as shown in the inset of Fig.1. Fig. 1(a) and (b) show the random telegraph noise after 10 min of channel hot electron stressing at V<sub>g</sub>=2.75 V and V<sub>d</sub>=5 V for device of L<sub>eff</sub>=270 nm and 390 nm respectively. The trap depth was extracted by using eq.(1) [3-4].

$$x_{T} = \frac{T_{ox}\left(\frac{kT}{q}\frac{d\ln\frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{e}}}{dV_{g}} + \frac{d\psi_{s}}{dV_{g}}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\psi_{p}}{dV_{g}} + \frac{d\psi_{s}}{dV_{g}} - 1\right)}$$
(1)

Here,  $T_{ox}$  is the oxide thickness and k is Boltzmann constant,  $\tau_c$  and  $\tau_e$  is capture and emission time.  $\psi_s$  is surface potential and  $\psi_p$  is poly depletion voltage drop. Through linear fitting process as shown in Fig. 2,  $\ln(\tau_c/\tau_e)$  with respect to the gate voltage was obtained. The accurate vertical trap depths obtained by using eq.(1) are 5.8 nm and 2.9 nm for devices of  $L_{eff}$ =270 nm and 390 nm, respectively. Using information of vertical location of trap and ratio of  $\tau_c/\tau_e$  for forward bias and  $\tau_c/\tau_e$  for reverse bias of Fig. 3(a), lateral location of trap could be extracted with eq.(2) [3].

$$y_{T} = \frac{\frac{kT}{q} \frac{T_{ox}}{x_{T}} \ln[\frac{(\tau_{c} / \tau_{e})_{f}}{(\tau_{c} / \tau_{e})_{r}}] + V_{ds r}}{\frac{V_{ds r} + V_{ds f}}{L_{eff}}}$$
(2)

Fig. 3(b) shows the results which are 225 nm and 296 nm from source for device of  $L_{eff}$ =270 nm and  $L_{eff}$ =390 nm

respectively. Also, the dfference between the oxide conduction band energy ( $E_{Cox}$ ) and trap energy ( $E_T$ ) could be extracted with eq.(3) [3-4].

$$(E_{Cox} - E_{T}) = \phi_{0} - q\psi_{s} + (E_{C} - E_{Fp} + q\frac{y}{L}V_{DS})$$

$$-q\frac{x_{T}}{T_{ox}}(V_{g} - V_{FB} - \psi_{p} - \psi_{s}) - k_{B}T\ln\frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{e}}$$
(3)

Here,  $\phi_0$  is the difference between the electron affinities of Si and SiO<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>c</sub> si the channel potential at the point y in the channel measured from the source ( $V_c \approx y_T V_{ds}/L_{eff}$ ),  $V_{FB}$  is flat band voltage. Using the known values of  $x_T$  and  $y_T$ , eq.(3) was evaluated and the result is shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 5 and 6 show the trap location in gate oxide and band diagram, respectively. Fig. 7(a) and (b) show the drain current fluctuation of another device before hot carrier stress and after hot carrier stress. From the same method explained above, physical parameters were obtained for device of  $L_{eff}$ =270 nm and  $L_{eff}$ =390 nm. From linear fitting results of Fig. 8, x<sub>T</sub> for process-induced trap and stress-induced trap of device having Leff=390 nm could be extracted. y<sub>T</sub> could be also obtained for process-induced trap and stress-induced trap in Fig. 9(b). Also, using the  $x_T$  and  $y_T$  and eq.(3), energy level of the trap was extracted in Fig. 10. Fig.11 and 12 clearly shows trap locations in gate oxide and band diagram for process-induced trap and stress-induced trap, respectively. Table 1 lists the  $x_{T}$ ,  $y_T$ , and  $E_{Cox}$ - $E_T$  for process- and stress-induced traps. The hot carrier stress-induced traps are located in near drain region.

#### Conclusions

We measured the RTS noise after channel hot electron stressing. The depth of  $trap(x_T)$  and lateral location of  $trap(y_T)$  are obtained using accurate equations. We could confirm the hot-carrier stress induced traps are generated near the drain junction.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by NCRC and Samsung Electronics.

#### Reference

- [1] P. Fang et al., E.D.L., vol 12, pp. 273-275, 1990.
- [2] P. Fang et al., Symp. VLSI Tech. pp. 37-38, 1990.

[3] S. Yang et al., SSDM, pp. 900-901, 2007.

[4] H. Lee et al., IEICE Trans Electron, vol. E90-C, No.5, May 2007.



Fig. 1.RTS noise having two levels of (a)  $L_{eff}=270 \text{ nm}$  and (b)  $L_{eff}=390 \text{ nm}$ 



Fig. 2. ln(capture time/emission time) and its linear fitting.



Fig. 3 (a) ln[(capture time/emission time) of forward bias/(capture time/emission time) of reverse bias] and (b) lateral position of traps by eq.(2).



Fig. 4  $E_{Cox}$ - $E_T$  of traps calculated by eq.(3).



Fig. 5 Schematic of (a) trap location in gate oxide for  $L_{eff}$ =270 nm device and (b) for  $L_{eff}$ =390 nm device.



Fig. 6 Energy diagram of traps(white circle is  $L_{eff}$ =270 nm device and black circle is  $L_{eff}$ =390 nm device.







Fig. 8 ln(capture time/emission time) and its linear fitting for process-induced trap and stress-induced trap.



Fig. 9 (a) ln[(capture time/emission time) of forward bias/(capture time/emission time) of reverse bias] and (b) lateral position of traps by eq.(2).



Fig. 10  $E_{Cox}$ - $E_T$  of traps calculated by eq.(3).



Fig. 11 Schematic of trap location in gate oxide of process-induced trap and stress-induced trap for  $L_{eff}$ =390 nm device.



Fig. 12 Energy diagram of traps(white circle is process-induced trap and black circle is stress-induced trap.

Table 1. Lists of obtained  $x_T$ ,  $y_T$ , and  $E_{Cox}$ - $E_T$ .

L <sub>eff</sub>	Pre-stress			Post-stess		
(nm)	x <sub>t</sub> (nm)	y <sub>t</sub> (nm)	E <sub>Cox</sub> -E <sub>T</sub> (eV)	x <sub>t</sub> (nm)	y <sub>T</sub> (nm)	E <sub>Cox</sub> -E <sub>T</sub> (eV)
270	-	-	-	5.8	225	2.64
270	6.7	184	2.50	2.2	209	3.01
390	-	-	-	2.9	296	2.96
390	2.7	178	2.93	1.5	303	3.14