Migration-limited relaxation in Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystals

Takayuki NAKAJIMA, Tadamasa KIMURA and Hideo ISSHIKI Department of Engineering Science, The University of Electro-Communications Tokyo 182-8585, Japan Tel&Fax : +81-42-443-5153, E-mail: nakajima@flex.ee.uec.ac.jp

Er₂SiO₅ crystal is a key light source material for Si-photonics. Due to the crystalline nature, Er₂SiO₅ crystal is considered to have few defects and segregations originated in spite of high Er concentration[1]. Therefore, we expect that Er_2SiO_5 crystalline compact waveguide amplifier less than 1mm will be delivered. In this system, the energy transfer can occurred between $Er^{3+}-Er^{3+}$ in ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$. Under strong excitation, one exited Er³⁺ is excited to the upper state by another[2]. This mechanism is so-called "Cooperative Upconversion" (CUC). CUC suppresses the emission efficiency at wavelength around 1.5µm. To overcome CUC problem, an introduction Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystals is proposed, since Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystals is expected to be diminish CUC because of dilution of Er atoms with keeping the crystallinity and the crystalline field surrounding Er[3,4]. The crystalline nature has been expected to suppress the concentration quenching of exciton decay time. However, the quenching behavior in Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystallites has been reported[3,4]. In this paper, we investigate the decay in Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystallites and discuss the possible mechanisms of energy transfer occurring in Er silicate systems.

 $Er_xY_{2-x}SiO_5$ crystal thin films were formed on Si substrates by sol-gel method with the mixture sol solutions of Er-O, Y-O and Si-O. The Er ratio x is varied from 2.0 to 0.06. After spin-coating, (at 2000rpm for 1min), the samples were dried in the air at 120°C for 30min and baked in Ar at 500°C for 30min. This process repeated 5 times to get films of about 100nm thickness. Thereafter, they were annealed at 1200°C for 30min in Ar atmosphere. Photoluminescence (PL) measurements were performed by using a semiconductor laser with wavelength of 654nm and pump power of 30mW/mm². PL emissions were collected by a cooled Ge detector for PL and an InGaAs photomultiplier for time decay measurements.

 $Er_{x}Y_{2-x}SiO_{5}$ thin films exhibit the same characteristic PL spectrum fine structure as that of Er_2SiO_5 , which has the main sharp peak at 1.529µm. Figure.1 shows the PL peak intensity and decay time of each Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystal as a function of Er composition. PL efficiency, which is PL intensity divided by Er concentration $N_{\rm Er}$, are also plotted on this figure. The PL decay time decreases with increasing Er content. PL efficiencies strongly depend on x value and shows the same tendency as the decay time. This suggests that the decay time quenching is due to increasing non-radiative transition.

Considering the crystalline nature, it is hard to form defects or segregation of Er to cause the concentration quenching. Then we discuss the possible mechanisms of energy transfer occurring in Er silicate systems. When the quenching center (acceptor) concentration is low, energy migration within the network of neighboring Er ions (donor) becomes the dominating process in the relaxation. Therefore the decay is governed principally by intrinsic relaxation $(1/\tau_0)$ and by diffusion-limited relaxation to the acceptor $(1/\tau_D)$. Yokota and Tanimoto[5] and then Weber[6] demonstrated the energy migration model in the case of dipole-dipole interaction. After that, Tang et al.[7] showed that the fluorescence of donor decays exponentially with a time constant τ_f , given by

$$\frac{1}{\tau_f} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + \frac{1}{\tau_D} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + CN_A N_D$$

where *C* is a constant related to the transfer constants, and N_A and N_D represent the acceptor and



Figure.1 Photoluminescence properties of $Er_x Y_{2,x} SiO_5$ thin film measured at 17K. PL efficiency is PL intensity divided by Er concentrations.

donor concentrations, respectively. This migration indirectly increases the nonradiative deexcitation rate, enhancing the probability of decay in nonradiative defects.

Figure 2 shows the emission decay rate as a function of Er concentration. It can be approximately expressed by the linear equation with $\tau_0 = 1.8ms$. The intrinsic decay time is almost same as that of a low Er content sample independent of the energy migration. We note that the crystallinity of each Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ crystal is not so different and there is not very much change of N_A . This indicates a change in the probability of transferring the excitation to nonradiative acceptors by diffusion. Also the time-dependence decay behavior shows a distinguishing characteristic of diffusion-limited relaxation. Additionally, for crystallinity improvement of Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅, we try to laver-by-laver deposition processes use of Er_xY_{2-x}SiO₅ films such as pulsed laser deposition (PLD) with rapid thermal annealing(RTA) crystallization. In this case, we have observed the improvement of decay[8].

Acknowledgements

This work is partly supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Culture Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.



Figure.2 Exciton relaxation ratio as a function of Er concentration, which corresponds to acceptors under weak excitation.

References

- H.Isshiki, A.Polman and T.Kimura, J. of Lumi. 102/103, 819 (2003)
 H.Isshiki, T.Ushiyama and T.kimura, phys stat.
- sol. (a) **205**, 52 (2008)
- [3] Kiseok Suh, Jung H. Shin, Seok-Jun Seo, and Byeong-Soo Bae, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 121910(2008)
- [4] T. Nakajima, X.J. Wang, T. Kimura, and H. Isshiki, IEEE Xplore, 6th IEEE International Conference on Group IV Photonics, 2009. GFP '09., 134(2009)
- [5] M. Yokota and O. Tanimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 22, 779 (1967).
- [6] M. J. Weber, Phys. Rev. B 4, 2932 (1971).
- [7] Gao Tang, Cunming Liu, Zhiyong Yang, Lan Luo and Wei Chen, J. Appl. Phys. 104, 113116(2008)
- [8] T. Kimura, Y. Tanaka, H.Ueda, H.Isshiki, Physica E **41**, 1063 (2009)