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## 1. Introduction

In 4H-SiC bipolar devices, basal plane dislocations (BPDs) severely degrade the device performance due to the expansion of Shockley-type stacking faults in an epilayer [1]. One promising method to reduce BPD density in an epilayer is converting BPDs to threading-edge dislocations (TEDs) near the epilayer/substrate (E/S) interface. However, the conversion mechanism must be better understood to ensure sufficient BPD-TED conversion [2]. We have used X-ray three-dimensional (3D) topogaphy to investigate BPDs and TEDs, observing characteristic BPD-image narrowing just before the BPD-TED conversion [3]. This paper describes the morphologic and strain analyses of the BPD-TED conversion process.

## 2. Experimental

Figure 1 shows the setup of 3D-topography measurements. The sample examined is an $8^{\circ}$-off-cut (0001) Si-face $4 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{SiC}$ wafer with a $20-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thick epilayer. Measurements were conducted on the synchrotron beam line BL24XU at SPring-8 [4], using an X-ray microbeam with a photon energy of 15 keV . The full widths at half maximum (FWHMs) of the microbeam were typically 1.9 and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in horizontal and vertical directions, respectively, while the beam divergence was approximately $25 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the horizontal direction, and the photon flux was about $5 \times 10^{6}$ photons/s on the sample. This method uses a V-slit in the microbeam X-ray diffraction for a pinpoint measurements at point Q where the incident beams crossed the extension of the V-slit transmission beam. Scanning the sample positions provides depth-resolved 3D topography [3, 5, 6].

The diffraction geometry is shown in Fig. 2. Measurements were performed with $\mathbf{g}=11-212\left(2 \theta=68.3^{\circ}\right)$ by using a Bragg-case asymmetric-reflection geometry in which the rocking angle (between the incident beam and crystal surface) was $\omega \sim 13.5^{\circ}$. The beam incidence direction projected on the basal plane points the $\left[\begin{array}{lll}-1 & -1 & 2\end{array}\right]$ direction. We use a common right-handed coordinate in Figs. 2 and 3.

Measurements were carried out in 3D-single-scan (3DSS) mode for the 3D imaging of reflection intensities and 2D-multi-scan (2DMS) mode for strain analysis. In the 3DSS mode, sample positions were scanned in three dimensions at a fixed $\omega$ value, while in the 2DMS mode, the


Fig. 1. Setup of microbeam X-ray diffraction for 3D topography.


Fig. 2. Diffraction geometry

2D data of reflection intensities were acquired for a desired cross section at step-scanned $\omega$ values. The consecutive image data obtained in the 2DMS mode were reconstructed to rocking curves at all 2 D positions, and effective misorientations ( $\omega$ shifts from the average) $\Delta \omega$ were calculated by applying Gaussian fitting to each of the rocking curves $[3,6]$.

## 3. Results and discussion

The 3DSS measurement was performed with a cubic voxel size of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ near the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{S}$ interface, providing the stereographic isosurface images shown in Fig. 3(a). It is


Fig. 3. Results of 3DSS (a) and 2DMS (b) measurements
clearly shown that a BPD $\left(\mathrm{BPD}_{\text {sub }}\right)$ converts into a TED $\left(\mathrm{TED}_{\text {epi }}\right)$ near the E/S interface. The TED is confirmed to have the Burgers vector $\mathbf{b}$ pointing in the $\left[\begin{array}{lll}-1 & -1 & 2\end{array} 0\right]$ direction [7]. Note here that the BPD image first narrows and then changes to a thick standing TED image. This narrowing does not occur if a BPD propagates into the epilayer without the conversion. We investigated three conversion and nonconversion cases respectively, and observed BPD-image narrowing in the former, but not the latter [8].

The 2DMS measurements were also conducted, and the $\Delta \omega$ images (strain maps) typical of screw-type dislocations [6] were obtained for the $y-z$ cross sections of $\mathrm{BPD}_{\text {sub }}$ as shown in Fig. 3(b). In these strain maps, the positive and negative values of $\Delta \omega$ correspond to the lattice tilt in the negative and positive directions along the x-axis, respectively. We now note $|\Delta \omega|_{\max }=\left(\Delta \omega_{\max }-\Delta \omega_{\min }\right) / 2$, the values of which are indicated above the strain maps [Fig. 3(b)]. It can be seen that $|\Delta \omega|_{\text {max }}$ decreases as the $\mathrm{BPD}_{\text {sub }}$ image narrows. The 3D topography image will be dominated by kinematical direct images [3]. It is known that kinematical diffraction dominates in the mosaic region where $|\Delta \omega|$ exceeds the widths of the theoretical rocking curve [9, 10]. We hence consider that the decrease in $|\Delta \omega|_{\text {max }}$ reduces the mosaic region along the BPD; resulting in image narrowing [3]. However, the cause of the $|\Delta \omega|_{\max }$ decrease remains unclear and further analysis is necessary to explain the BPD-TED conversion mechanism.

In conclusion, 3D topography analyses were conducted for the BPD-TED conversion process. The BPD image narrowing is explained by the reduction in the mosaic region along the BPD.
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