# Modified Deal-Grove model for the thermal oxidation of Ge and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> capped Ge

S. K. Wang<sup>1, 2,\*</sup>, X. L. Wang<sup>2, \*</sup>, L. Han<sup>1,3</sup>, W. Zhao<sup>1</sup>, B. Sun<sup>1</sup>, W. W. Wang<sup>2</sup>, C. Zhao<sup>2</sup>, H. G. Liu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Microwave Device and IC Department, <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Microelectronics Devices & Integrated Technology, <sup>1, 2</sup>Institute of Microelectronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

Phone: +86-10-8299-5593 Fax: +86-10-6202-1601 E-mail: liuhonggang@ime.ac.cn, wangwenwu@ime.ac.cn

<sup>2</sup>School of Electronic Science and Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P. R. China

\*The authors contribute equally to this paper

## Abstract

By focusing on Ge oxidation kinetics and the case with  $Al_2O_3$  capping, a modified Deal-Grove model by considering GeO desorption flux as well as the in diffusion of oxygen is presented. Moreover, a method to extract the desorption flux from the whole oxidation process is introduced.

#### **1. Introduction**

Recently, high mobility Ge-MOSFETs have been demonstrated using high-k/GeO<sub>2</sub>/Ge gate stack [1,2], in which the thickness control of the interfacial GeO<sub>2</sub> layer is important to for EOT scaling down. For Si, thermal growth of SiO<sub>2</sub> could be well expressed by Deal-Grove model [3]. However, for Ge, due the unstable GeO<sub>2</sub>/Ge interface, an out diffusion flux with GeO desorption is included in Ge oxidation [4], making it doubtful to follow the Si oxidation kinetics. In this paper, we focus on Ge thermal oxidation and the case with  $Al_2O_3$  capping, to present a modified model for Ge oxidation kinetics.

#### 2. Experimental

P-type Ge (100) substrates were cleaned using a HF last process [4]. Immediately after that, each substrate was transferred to a vacuum chamber for thermal oxidation with various temperature and oxygen pressure. For capping case, alumina with thickness of 0.5/1.0/1.5nm was grown by ALD at 300°C. The film thicknesses were confirmed by XPS and ellipsometer.

#### 3. Modified Deal-Grove model for Ge oxidation

In the Deal-Grove model, Si oxidation follows:

$$X^2 + AX = B(t + \tau)$$

where X is oxide thickness, t is oxidation time.  $\tau$  is related to an initial thickness. B and B/A are parabolic and linear rate constants. The Deal-Grove model is not directly applicable to Ge as is it does not include GeO desorption flux, as schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The following reaction governs the oxidation of Ge:

$$(1+\gamma)$$
 Ge+ $(1+\gamma/2)$  O<sub>2</sub> = GeO<sub>2</sub> +  $\gamma$  GeO (2)

Where  $\gamma$  means the ratio that forms GeO desorption flux against GeO<sub>2</sub> formation. According to reaction (1), the total oxidation flux could be expressed as:

$$F_{02} = D_{02}(C_{02}^{s} - C_{02}^{1}) = h_{02}(C_{02}^{s} - C_{02}^{s})$$
(3)  
$$F_{02} = D_{02}(C_{02}^{s} - C_{02}^{s}) = h_{02}(C_{02}^{s} - C_{02}^{s})$$
(4)

$$G_{\text{GeO}} = D_{\text{Des}}(C_{\text{Des}} - C_{\text{Des}}) = h_{\text{Des}}(C_{\text{Des}} - C_{\text{Des}})$$
(4)

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{O2}} - \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{Des}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Des}}$$
(5)

On the basis of reaction (2), for the steady-state condition, F: F<sub>02</sub>: F<sub>Des</sub>=1:  $1+\gamma/2$ :  $\gamma$ . Therefore, the rate of growth of

the 
$$GeO_2$$
 layer is described by

$$dX/dt=F/N_0$$

(5)

where  $N_0$  is the number of oxidant molecules incorporated into a unit volume of the oxide layer. Combine and solve the above equations, we have:

 $B/A \approx C_{02}^{*} K_f / N_0$  (linear regime) (6)

 $B \approx C_{02}^{*} D_{02} / [(1 + \gamma/2)N_0] \text{ (Parabolic regime)} \quad (7)$ 

Therefore, we have a modified Deal-Grove model by considering the out diffusion flux. Fig. 2 shows the fitting result of the data of Ge(100) oxidation at 550°C in 1atm  $O_2$  using the modified model, in which the B value is estimated to be 20 nm<sup>2</sup>/min.

#### 4. Ge oxidation with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> capping layer

Since GeO<sub>2</sub> growth and GeO desorption occur simultaneously, to extract  $\gamma$  from B constant, study the Ge oxidation kinetics with a capping oxide will be a wise way. According to the report by Tabata et al., Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is very effective to suppress GeO desorption [7], due to the capping effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the GeO desorption flux could be eliminated. Fig. 3 shows the schematic of Ge oxidation with a capping layer, which includes a bi-layer diffusion process with only in-diffusion flux. Fig. 4(a) shows the GeO<sub>2</sub> thickness as a function of oxidation time for Ge and the ones with capped Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer. By plotting the thickness ratio between the one with no capping oxide and the one with capping oxide  $(X/X_{capped})$ , Fig. 4(b) is obtained. It is found that the ratio saturated when oxidation is totally governed by diffusion process at long time regime (blue dash line). By plotting the ratio  $(X_{capped}/X)$  against  $Al_2O_3$ thickness, it is found that the data meets linear relationship well empirically, as depicted in Fig. 4(c). If we extend the fitting result in Fig. 4(c) to zero Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thickness, the parabolic constant with no desorption flux could thus be extracted. By using this method,  $\gamma$  is successfully extracted from B constant. And for 550°C 1atm oxidation case, y value is estimated to be 0.06, this makes it possible to study the GeO desorption behavior during the oxidation process. Fig. 5(a) shows the thickness-time curves at 515, 550, and 590 °C. For the above cases, the rate constant B/A from Fig. 5(a) are quite close to zero, indicating that the reaction is mainly limited by diffusion process. By plotting the B constant of each curve against 1/T, activation about 1.88 eV is obtained, where the fitting derivation may comes from the difference of GeO desorption at different temperature. Fig.6 summarizes the reactions among Ge, GeO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, and the trend of  $\gamma$  value as a function of pO<sub>2</sub> and T. This

(1)

figure is useful for the understanding and the thermodynamic control of Ge oxidation process.

### 5. Conclusions

A modified Deal-Grove model for oxidation of Ge(100) is established which takes into account GeO desorption flux. The oxidation kinetic model with  $Al_2O_3$  capping case is proposed. By combining the above model, a method to extract desorption flux from the whole oxidation process is introduced. Ge oxidation behavior can be predicted from this model.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China under Grant No. 2011CBA00605, NSFC Grant No. 61204103, and the national Science & Technology Major Project of China under Grant No. 2011ZX02708-003.



Fig. 1 Schematic of five steps for oxidation of Ge. where  $h_{O2}$  is the gas-phase transport coefficient,  $C_{O2}{}^{S}$  is the concentration of the oxidant at the outer surface of the oxide at any given time,  $C_{O2}{}^{*}$  is the equilibrium concentration of oxygen gas,  $C_{O2}{}^{i}$  is the concentration of the oxide-Ge interface. The ones with subscript Des are referred to desorption flux.



Fig. 2 GeO<sub>2</sub> thickness as a function of time at 550°C for dry thermal oxidation of the Ge (100). Solid lines indicate fits by modified Deal-Grove model, in which the B value is estimated to be 20 nm<sup>2</sup>/min.



Fig. 3 Schematic of oxidation of Ge with a capping oxide. Where a bi-layer diffusion process is concerned. For capping oxidation, only in-diffusion flux is considered.

#### References

- [1] C. H. Lee et al., Tech. Dig. IEDM10, (2010) 416.
- [2] R. Zhang et al., Tech. Dig. IEDM11, (2011) 642.
- [3] B. E. Deal, A. S. Grove, J. Appl. Phys. 36 (1965) 3770.
- [4] S. K. Wang et al., J. Appl. Phys.108 (2010) 054104.
- [5] T. Sasada et al., J. Appl. Phys. 106 (2009) 073716.
- [6] C.H. Lee et al., Appl. Phys. Express, 2 (2009) 071404.

[7] T. Tabata et al., Ext. Abst. SSDM, (2011) 883.



Fig. 4 (a) GeO<sub>2</sub> thickness as a function of oxidation time for Ge and the ones with capped Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer. (b)  $X/X_{capped}$ as a function of oxidation time. (c)  $X/X_{capped}$  against Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thickness, it is find that the data meets linear relationship well empirically.



Fig. 5 (a) Oxide thickness as a function of time and temperature for dry thermal oxidation of Ge(100).Solid lines indicate fits by Eq.(1). (b) Arrhenius plots for the Deal-Grove parabolic rate constant B. Activation energies were found to be 1.88 eV.



Fig. 6 pO<sub>2</sub>-T diagram of the reactions among Ge, GeO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, and the trend of  $\gamma$  value as a function of pO<sub>2</sub> and T.