Effect of Insertion Layers on Schottky Barrier Height of Fe/n-type Ge Junctions

Hidekazu Saito¹, Yuki Sato^{1,2}, Tetsuro Takada^{1,2}, Ron Jansen¹, and Shinji Yuasa¹ ¹ Spintronics Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Taylyrba Cantrol 2, Umegong 1, 1, 1, Taylyrba, Ibarghi 205, 8568, Janan

Tsukuba Central 2, Umezono 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan

Phone: +81-29-861-5457 E-mail: h-saitoh@aist.go.jp

² On leave from Meiji Univ.

Kawasaki, Kanagawa, 214-8571, Japan

Abstract

We investigated the effect of thin insertion layers of GeO₂ and MgO on the Schottky barrier height (ϕ_{SBH}) of the Fe/*n*-type Ge junctions where the Ge has a non-degenerate character. Current-voltage (*I-V*) characteristics of the Fe/GeO₂/Ge devices showed a significant suppression of the ϕ_{SBH} whereas the *I-V* curves hardly depended on thickness of the MgO layer. We report a large reduction of the ϕ_{SBH} from 0.60 eV down to about 0.25 eV by inserting the GeO₂ layer.

1. Introduction

Technologies for electrical spin injection in practical semiconductors (SCs) using a ferromagnetic (FM) tunnel contact have recently made remarkable progress [1]. For Si and Ge, the spin injection/detection experiments have been mainly done in degenerately doping SCs. From an application point of view, however, a non-degenerate SC should be more important because it is utilized as the core part of the practical SC devices such as a channel layer of metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect-transistor (MOSFET). For a metal/non-degenerate SC junction, one of the major obstructs for developing a practical device should be a formation of wide depletion region due to the Schottky barrier potential. This causes a high resistance-area-product (*RA*), thereby a degradation of the device performance in a high-speed operation.

Ge is a promising candidate as a channel layer material of next-generation high-speed MOSFET. The Fermi-level $(E_{\rm F})$ at a metal/Ge interface is strongly pinned at around the top of the valence band of Ge, resulting in high Schottky barrier height ($\phi_{\rm SBH}$) of 0.5 ~ 0.6 eV for *n*-type Ge irregardless of the work function of the metal electrode [2]. Nishimura *et al.* has recently reported that GeO₂ is one of the most effective insulating materials to alleviate the $E_{\rm F}$ -pinning and reduce the $\phi_{\rm SBH}$ for the non-magnetic metal (Al and Au)/*n*-Ge junctions [3].

In this study, we demonstrate that the GeO₂ insertion layer is quite effective to reduce the ϕ_{SBH} for the FM metal (Fe)/non-degenerate *n*-type Ge junction.

2. Experiments

Films were grown by molecular beam epitaxy on non-degenerate phosphorous-doped Ge(001) substrates with a carrier concentration of ~ 5×10^{16} cm⁻³ at room temperature (RT). Tunnel contacts consisting of a Au(10 nm)/Fe(3 nm)/GeO₂(d_{GeO} nm) were deposited by elec-

tron-beam evaporation at RT. As reference samples, epitaxial Fe(001)/MgO(001) tunnel contacts were also grown on the substrate at RT, where the MgO is a canonical tunnel barrier [1,4]. The junctions with several different sizes of active area (*A*) between 25×12.5 and 600×300 µm were prepared with standard micro-fabrication techniques.

3. Results and discussion

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the current-voltage (*I-V*) characteristics of the devices with the GeO₂ and MgO insertion layers at RT. The current dramatically increases by inserting GeO₂ layers up to $d_{\text{GeO}} = 1.0$ nm, indicating the alleviation of the E_{F} -pinning and a large reduction of the ϕ_{SBH} . The current starts to decrease with further increasing d_{GeO} . In contrast to the GeO₂-based device, no noticeable change was observed in the *I-V* characteristics of the Fe/MgO/Ge devices, suggesting that the ϕ_{SBH} is hardly



Fig. 1 *I-V* characteristics of the devices with (a) GeO₂ and (b) MgO insertion layers at RT, respectively.

suppressed by inserting the MgO layer. This is consistent with our previous results showing an absent of Schottky potential in the Fe/MgO/*p*-type Ge junctions [5, 6].

The *RA* as a function of d_{GeO} is given in Fig. 2, where the *RA* is obtained from a linear part of *I*-*V* characteristics at a low *V* range of \pm 10 mV. For $d_{\text{GeO}} \geq 1.5$ nm, a good linear relation in the ln(*RA*)- d_{GeO} plot appeared, which is characteristics in a tunnel junction [7]. This indicates that tunneling is a dominant transport process and the *RA* is governed by the GeO₂ layer for $d_{\text{GeO}} \geq 1.5$ nm.

For a conventional metal/SC Schottky diode, the thermionic emission is a dominant transport process. Then, the value of ϕ_{SBH} can be estimated from the slope of the $\ln(I_S/T^2)$ - T^1 plot (thermal-activation method) without considering the tunneling transport [7], where the I_S is the saturation current. In a case of the present Fe/GeO₂/Ge devices, however, this method is no longer valid to evaluate an accurate value of the ϕ_{SBH} because the tunneling is a major transport mechanism, especially for $d_{\text{GeO}} \ge 1.5$ nm. Here, we estimate the ϕ_{SBH} of the Fe/GeO₂/*n*-Ge devices by taking the tunneling probability of the GeO₂ layer into account. For a metal/insulator/non-degenerate SC junction, $RA \equiv (dJ/dV)^{-1}$ at low *V* is expressed by [7]

$$RA \approx \frac{\eta k}{A^* T q} \exp(\varsigma^{1/2} d) \exp\left(\frac{q \phi_{SBH}}{kT}\right) \qquad (1).$$

where η is the ideality factor, *k* is the Boltzmann constant, A^* is the effective Richardson constant, *T* is the temperature, *q* is the electron charge. The exp(- $\zeta^{1/2}d$) represents the tunneling probability due to the insulating layer. Here, ζ (in eV) and *d* (in angstrom) are the effective barrier height and thickness of the insulating layer, respectively (A constant of 1.01 eV^{-1/2}/angstrom is omitted). Following the equation (1), a relative change in the ϕ_{SBH} from that of the device with $d = 0.0 \text{ nm} (\Delta \phi_{\text{SBH}})$ can be given by

$$\Delta\phi_{SBH} \approx \frac{kT}{q} \left[\ln \left(\frac{A^*(0)}{A^*(d)} \cdot \frac{\eta(d)}{\eta(0)} \cdot \frac{RA(0)}{RA(d)} \right) + \zeta^{1/2} d \right]$$
(2).

The value of ζ is estimated to be 0.35 eV from the slope of linear part in the ln(*RA*)-*d*_{GeO} plot. We obtained the ϕ_{SBH} (= 0.60 - $\Delta \phi_{\text{SBH}}$, where 0.60 eV is the ϕ_{SBH} of Fe(001)/ *n*-Ge(001) junction [8]) as a function of *d*_{GeO} by assuming that the *A*^{*} does not depend on *d*_{GeO} (Fig. 2). The ϕ_{SBH} decreases and reaches to be ~ 0.25 eV (corresponding to $\Delta \phi_{\text{SBH}} \sim 0.35 \text{ eV}$) for *d*_{GeO} \geq 1.5 nm. Further reduction of the ϕ_{SBH} would be expected by optimizing growth conditions, post-annealing or using lower work-function FM electrode such as Gd [9].

4. Conclusion

In this study, we present a systematic investigation on the effect of the GeO₂ and MgO insertion layers on the ϕ_{SBH} and *RA* for the Fe/non-degenerate *n*-type Ge junctions. The



Fig. 2 *RA* and ϕ_{SBH} of the Fe/GeO₂/*n*-Ge devices as a function of the GeO₂ thickness.

data on *I-V* characteristics show a large suppression of the ϕ_{SBH} by inserting the GeO₂ layer. A good linear relation was observed in the ln(*RA*)- d_{GeO} for $d_{\text{GeO}} \ge 1.5$ nm, indicating that the *RA* is determined by the FM tunnel contact. For the device with $d_{\text{GeO}} \ge 1.5$ nm, the values of ϕ_{SBH} were estimated to be about 0.25 eV by taking the tunneling probability of the GeO₂ into account. This result offers that the GeO insertion layer is a promising candidate for the tunnel barrier of the FM contact for Ge-based spintronic device.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Funding Program for Next Generation World-Leading Researchers (No. GR099).

References

[1] R. Jansen, S. P. Dash, S. Sharma, and B. C. Min : Semicond. Sci. Technol. **27** (2012) 083001.

[2] T. Nishimura, K. Kita, A. Toriumi : Appl. Phys. Lett. **91** (2007) 123123.

[3] T. Nishimura, K. Kita and A. Toriumi : Appl. Phys. Express 1 (2008) 051406.

[4] S. Yuasa and D. D. Djayaprawira : J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **40** (2007) R337.

[5] H. Saito, S. Watanabe, Y. Mineno, S. Sharma, R. Jansen, S. Yuasa, and K. Ando : Solid State Commun. **151** (2011) 1159.

[6] S. Iba, H. Saito, A. Spiesser, S. Watanabe, R. Jansen, S. Yuasa, and K. Ando : Appl. Phys. Exp. **5** (2012) 023003.

[7] S. M. Sze and Kwok K. Ng, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, 3nd ed. (Wiley, Hoboken, 2007).

[8] S. Tari, R. Sporken, T. Aoki, D. J. Smith, V. Meltlushko, K. AbuEl-Rub, and S. Sivananthan : J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **20** (2002) 1586.

[9] B. C. Min, K. Motohashi, C. Lodder, and R. Jansen : Nature Mater. 5 (2006) 817.