New Concept of Planar Germanium MOSFET with Stacked Germanide Layers at Source/Drain

Hao Xu, Lei Sun*, Yi-Bo Zhang, Yu-Qian Xia, Jing-Wen Han, Yi Wang and Sheng-Dong Zhang

Institute of Microelectronics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China *Email: sunl@pku.edu.cn, Fax: (+) 86-10-62751789

Abstract

Novel Schottky barrier Ge-based MOSFET structure is proposed and simulated. The Source/Drain region is consisted with two stacked layers of germanide materials. The barrier heights of the top and bottom layer are different. The working mechanism and performance of the device is studied. The results show that ON-state current, leakage current, and transfer characteristics have been enhanced with the proposed structure. Besides, the requirement of GOI structures for leakage immunization can be released.

1. Introduction

In recent years, Ge-based MOSFETs have become one promising candidate for future device structure, which is due to the outstanding field effect mobility and drivability. Simultaneously, Ge-based device also suffers from the large leakage current. And germanium on insulator (GeOI) substrate and ultra thin germanium channel layer on SOI substrate are then fabricated to immunize the leakage current. For the real applicant, it's more difficult to fabricate GOI substrate than SOI case, because of the involved epitaxial procedure and defect density control [1-4].

Considering the source/drain of Ge device is always consistent of germanide material, due to the thermal budget requirement, herein, we propose to apply dual germanide layers at source/drain (DL-T). The performance of both *n*and *p*-type DL-Ts are simulated with Sentaurus TCAD tools. It's found DL-T structure can improve the device performance and suppress leakage current effectively and the requirement of Ge film thickness can be greatly relaxed and even bulk Ge substrate can be applied to achieve low leakage current.

2. Device structure and working mechanism

The schematic structures of DL-T and conventional Schottky germanium MOSFET (SL-T) are illustrated in *Fig.*1. The performance of the two structures are then simulated and analyzed. *Table* 1 shows the major parameters and default values used in the device simulations. The tunneling mass and mobility models of Ge material [5] are set according to the calibration, which is well-fitting to the experimental data [6], as shown in *Fig.*2.

To explain the working principles of DL-T, the electrostatic potential distributions for *n*-type DL-Ts are illustrated in *Fig.*3. We can see the dual germanide layers form different barrier heights with germanium channel: the barrier height of the top contact near the surface (*SBH*te= 0.05eV) is lower, and the barrier height of the bottom contact (*SBH*be=0.38eV) is higher. In *Fig.*3(a), the electrostatic potential change rate is the highest in the source side of top germanium, which provides the main portion of ON-state current. In *Fig.*3(b), the change rate is nearly equal in the deep portion of source and drain side, due to the similar *SBH* for electrons and holes. In *Fig.*4, we show the OFF- and ON-state electron current density for both DL-T and

SL-T. We can see DL-Ts shows apparent lower leakage current density at OFF-state. At ON-state, electron current density concentrates in the top barrier region and is basically the same in two structures. Then, DL-T can provide feasible way to design proper *SBH* and *SBH* to satisfy the drive ability and the leakage current requirement.

3. Performance estimation and discussion

*Fig.*5 shows the typical transfer curves of DL-T and SL-T (both with default values). For *n*-type device, the device drive ability can be enhanced by about two times: *I*on=0.45mA/um for DL-T and 0.16mA/um for SL-T with 0.38eV electrons barrier (fix *I*off=3uA/um) [5]. While the leakage current (represented by the valley value of *I*ds, *I*min) can be reduced by two orders of magnitude: *I*min=0.43uA/um for DL-T and 0.26mA/um for SL-T with 0.05eV electrons barrier. Therefore, the DL-T can maintain the benefits of both low and high electron barriers.

After that, the gate work function is tuned to fit Ioff=3uA/um. Fig.6 shows Ion, Imin with different SBHb (fix SBHt=0.05eV). It can be figured out that *n*-type DL-T with SBHbe=0.38eV has the smallest Imin. This phenomenon can be explained by the two type carrier conducing mechanism and a mid-gap energy level (0.66eV gap of germanium) can well suppress both electron and hole leakage current. And it can achieve the smallest Imin with SBHbh=0.28eV for *p*-type case. Fig.7 plots the relationship between Ion, Imin and SBHt, while applying the proper SBHb. We can see lower SBHt results in a larger current in electron current dominating region and both Ion and Imin increase while SBHt becomes smaller. It's shown that the larger difference designed between SBHb and SBHt, the bigger Ion/Ioff ratio we can obtain.

In *Fig.*8, the influences of the top germanide layer thickness (*d*t) is studied with gate workfunction fixed. *I*on shows nearly linear increase while *I*off shows nearly exponential increase with *d*t (increased from 1 to 15nm). It's noticed that, when dt < 5nm, *I*on decreases faster, which implies the conducing current tends to locate near the surface region. In *Fig.*9, the thickness of Ge is changed from 10nm to 50nm, and db=dGe-dt while dt=3nm, We can see that bulk Ge and the increase of *d*Ge will not induce much leakage current, which makes GeOI structure even not necessary to reduce the leakage current and the bulk structure promising for DL-Ts for its simplicity and cheapness in process.

4. Conclusions

We have proposed and simulated a novel DL-T structure. The results show that the DL-T exhibits better electrical properties than SL-T. The use of lower *SBH*t improves the drive current while the higher *SBH*b decreases leakage current. It's proved that the performance of planar Ge-channel device can be enhanced by applying DL-T structure.

References

[1] E. Simoen, et al., Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing, 15(6): 588-600, 2012.

[2] M. Kobayashi, et al., IEEE Transactions on Electron devices, 58(2): 384-391, 2011.
[3] L. Hutin, et al., IEEE Electron Device Letters, 31(3): 234-236, 2010.
[4] J. Feng, et al., IEEE Electron Device Letters, 28(7): 637-639, 2007.
[5] S. Xiong, et al., IEEE Transactions on Electron devices, 52(8): 1859-1867, 2005.
[6] S. Zhu, et al., IEEE Electron Device



*Fig.*1 Schematic structure of (a) DL-T, (b) SL-T.



*Gate Voltage, Vg (V) Fig.*2 Simulation results calibrated with



*Fig.*3 Electrostatic-potential distribution for DL-T: (a) ON-state, (b) OFF-state.







Fig.5 Transfer characteristics of DL-T (with default values) and SL-T.

Bottom barrier height to electron, SBHbe (eV) 0.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 10³



Fig.6 Ion (red line and symbols) and *I*min (blue line and symbols) for DL-Ts with various *SBH*b. (a) NMOS and (b) PMOS. *Ioff* is fixed at 3uA/um.



*Fig.*7 *I*on (red line and symbols) and *I*min (blue line and symbols) for DL-Ts with various *SBH*t. (a) NMOS and (b) PMOS. *I*off is fixed at 3uA/um.



ON-State

8

2

(a) SL-7

(b) DL-T

10 12 14 16 18 20

(A/cm²)

108

10

10

10

*Fig.*8 Ion (red line and symbols) and *I*off (blue line and symbols) for DL-Ts with various *d*t. (a) NMOS and (b) PMOS.



Fig.9 Ion (red line and symbols) and *I*min (blue line and symbols) of DL-T with different germanium thickness *d*Ge and Bulk Ge. (a) NMOS and (b) PMOS.

Table 1. Simulations parameters

	Default
gate length (Lg)	20nm
Ge thickness (dGe)	20nm
top germanide thickness (dt)	3nm
gate dielectric thickness (Tox)	1nm
bottom germanide thickness (db)	dGe-dt
<i>n</i> -type DL-T	
drain bias (Vds)	0.6V
gate bias (Vgs)	1V
top barrier height to electron (SBHte)	0.05eV
bottom barrier height to electron	0.38eV
(SBHbe)	
<i>p</i> -type DL-T	
drain bias (Vds)	-0.6V
gate bias (Vgs)	-1V
top barrier height to hole (SBHth)	0.05eV
bottom barrier height to hole (SBHbh)	0.28eV
<i>n</i> -type SL-T/ <i>p</i> -type SL-T	
source/drain barrier height (SBH)	0.05eV&
- · · ·	0.38eV

- 65 -