# Organic Ultraviolet Photodetectors with m-MTDATA:LiF Nanocomposite Layer

Ricky-Wenkuei Chuang<sup>1</sup>, Peng-Yin Su<sup>1</sup> and Chin-Hsiang Chen<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Microelectronics and Department of Electrical Engineering, Advanced Optoelectronic Technology Center, Cen-

ter for Micro/Nano Science and Technology, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City 701, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup> Departments of Electronic Engineering, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City 833, Taiwan

Phone: +886-7-7310606-3216 \*E-mail: chchen@gcloud.csu.edu.tw

#### Abstract

The organic ultraviolet (UV) photodetectors (PDs) with the m-MTDATA:LiF nanocomposite layer were, for the first time, fabricated and characterized successfully. With a 5 V applied bias, it was found that the leakage current of the fabricated PD with the m-MTDATA:LiF nanocomposite layer was  $2.12 \times 10^{-11}$  A. Furthermore, organic UV PDs with a m-MTDATA:LiF nanocomposite layer have a larger UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio. the NEP and  $D^*$  from the proposed UV PD were  $2.49 \times 10^{-10}$  W and  $3.29 \times 10^8$  cmHz<sup>0.5</sup>W<sup>-1</sup>.

## 1. Introduction

Ultraviolet (UV) photodetectors (PDs) is one of the most important optoelectronic devices in the application of scientific, industrial, medical, and astronomical fields because of its excellent high on/off ratio, fast response time, and a long lifetime [1]. However, different from inorganic semiconductors, organic materials are less stable [2]. The degradation mechanism in organic device is a result of the entrance of moisture and oxygen into the Device [3]. Grozea et al. reported that a thin LiF layer acts as an effective oxygen diffusion barrier in OLEDs [4]. Furthermore, LiF also acts as a effective surface passivation layer, preventing an Al electrode from being oxidized [5]. To maintain the conductive feature of m-MTDATA, m-MTDATA:LiF nanocomposites for the application of protective layer to UV PDs were proposed. In this study, we first developed the fabrication process and analyzed the characteristics of m-MTDATA-based UV PDs using m-MTDATA:LiF as a protective layer. For comparison, m-MTDATA-based UV PDs were also fabricated. The influence of inserting the m-MTDATA:LiF layer on performance of organic UV PDs was also examined.

## 2. Experimental

The organic UV PDs were fabricated on the clean silicon substrates, which were previously cleaned by acetone, isopropyl alcohol, and de-ionized water, subsequently, followed by baking at 110 °C for 10 min. Then, a m-MTDATA layer was deposited by thermal evaporation in a organic chamber. After that, the m-MTDATA:LiF composite layer was deposited by co-depositing m-MTDATA and LiF in the same chamber. Subsequently, a 100-nm-thick Al electrode was deposited using an interdigitated shadow mask. The interdigitated shadow mask was designed to have a finger width of 65 µm and a finger length of 1115 µm. The spacing between the neighboring fingers was kept at 85 µm. The active area of the devices was  $2915 \times 2300 \ \mu m^2$ . The device structure was m-MTDATA(60 nm)/m-MTDATA:LiF(20 nm)/Al(100 nm) (i.e., sample A) as shown in Fig. 1. For comparison, a m-MTDATA-based UV PD (i.e., sample B) consists of 80-nm-thick m-MTDATA and 100-nm-thick Al was also fabricated. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics, spectral responsivities, noise power spectra of the fabricated devices were then measured and analyzed.

# 3. Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics for UV PDs with and without m-MTDATA:LiF layers measured in the dark. When an 5V applied bias was administered, the measured dark current of the fabricated UV PDs with the m-MTDATA:LiF layers was  $2.12 \times 10^{-11}$  A. In contrast, the dark current of sample B was larger than that of sample A. In other words, the dark current can be further reduced by the insertion of the m-MTDATA:LiF layers. The lower dark from the fabricated UV PDs can be attributed to the fact that a m-MTDATA:LiF layer can provide better protection for the m-MTDATA active layer during deposition of the Al electrode and a better diffusion barrier for oxygen and moisture.

Figure 3 shows spectral responses measured from the fabricated UV PDs with and without the m-MTDATA:LiF layer. A sharp cutoff occurred at the range from 220 and 250 nm which was attributed to the absorption of the m-MTDATA layer. With incident light of 220 nm and 5 V applied bias, it was found that measured responsivities were approximate 0.16 and 0.25 mA/W for the UV PDs with and without a m-MTDATA:LiF layer, respectively. With the inserted m-MTDATA:LiF layer, photo-generated current should become lower again because the insulating nature of LiF. Although the lower responsivity can be measured from the UV PD with the inserted m-MTDATA:LiF layer, we can define the UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio as the responsivity measured at 220 nm divided by that measured at 280 nm. It is known that UV spectrum consist of UVA (310-420 nm), UVB (310-280 nm), and UVC (280-100 nm). According to this definition, the UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio of the UV PDs with and without a m-MTDATA:LiF layer was approximately 5.9 and 5.4, respectively, when biased at 5 V. Thus, the UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio for the sample A is larger than that for the sample B. As a result, we achieved a UV PD with a larger UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio with the insertion of a m-MTDATA:LiF composite layer.

Figure 4 shows the noise power spectra obtained from

the fabricated PD with a m-MTDATA:LiF layer at a frequency range from 1 Hz to 1000Hz operating in various applied biases [6]. The noise power spectra can be described by the Hooge-type equation [7]:

$$S_n(f) = S_0 \frac{I_d^{\beta}}{f^{\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

where  $S_n(f)$  is spectral density of the noise power,  $S_0$  is a constant,  $I_d$  is the dark current, f is the frequency, and  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are two fitting parameters. According to the measured curves, the determined  $\alpha$  is nearly uniform throughout the measured frequency range. Then, the noise equivalent power (NEP) could be obtained from the following equation [8]:

$$NEP = \frac{\sqrt{\langle i_n \rangle^2}}{R} \tag{2}$$

where R is the responsivity of a photodetector. In addition, the normalized detectivity  $(D^*)$  could be determined by  $D^* = \sqrt{A}\sqrt{B} / \text{NEP}$ , where A is the area of the photodetector and B is bandwidth. For a bandwidth of 100 Hz and a bias of 1 V, it was found that the NEP and  $D^*$  from the proposed UV PD were  $2.49 \times 10^{-10}$  W and  $3.29 \times 10^8$ cmHz<sup>0.5</sup>W<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, as shown in Fig. 4.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, UV PDs with a m-MTDATA:LiF layers were proposed and successfully fabricated. It was found that we can achieve a low dark current and large UVC-to-UVB rejection ratio from the proposed devices with the m-MTDATA:LiF layer.

#### References

- [1] H. L. Zhu *et al.*, Adv. Optical Mater **2** (2014) 1082.
- [2] Y. Q. Zhao et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007) 103109.
- [3] M. Jørgensen *et al.*, Sol. Energ. Mat. Sol. C. **92** (2008) 686.
- [4] D. Grozea et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 81 (2002)3173.
- [5] A. Turak et al., J. Electrochem.Soc. 154 (2007) H691.
- [6] T. P. Chen *et al.*, IEEE Photonic Tech. L. **25** (2013) 213.
- [7] E. Monroy et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 73 (1998) 2146.
- [8] D. Kuksenkov et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 70 (1997) 2277.



Fig. 1 The schematic structure of the m-MTDATA organic UV PD with a m-MTDATA:LiF layer.



Fig. 2 I-V characteristics of the m-MTDATA Organic UV PD with and without a m-MTDATA:LiF layer.



Fig. 3 Spectral responses of the m-MTDATA Organic UV PD with and without a m-MTDATA:LiF layer.



Fig. 4 Noise power density spectra of the m-MTDATA Organic UV PD with a m-MTDATA:LiF layer operating under applied biases. The inset is noise equivalent power and normalized detectivity of this PD.