Fabrication of a spin injection device having a top-gate structure

Wataru Nomura, Takumi Miyakawa, Masafumi Yamamoto, and Tetsuya Uemura

Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Hokkaido University Kita-14, Nishi-9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0814, Japan Phone: +81-11-706-6440, E-mail:nomura@ist.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract

A lateral spin injection device having a top-gate structure was fabricated. A clear spin injection from an Fe electrode into an n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As channel was demonstrated through the observation of spin-valve signals in a cross nonlocal geometry. Furthermore, a gate control of spin-valve signals was achieved. Experiments showed that the amplitude of the spin-valve signal under constant injection current conditions decreased when the channel was depleted by the gate voltage. These results indicate that the developed top-gate structure paves the way to implementing spin transistors.

1. Introduction

Electrical injection of spin-polarized electrons into a semiconductor channel and their control by a gate voltage are major prerequisites for creating viable semiconductor spintronic devices such as spin transistors, which feature nonvolatility, reconfigurable logic functions, and ultralow power consumption [1,2]. While there have been many reports on spin injection into GaAs, Si, or Ge, only a handful of experiments on the gate control of spin signals have been reported [3-5]. Moreover, the gate operation was done only in back-gate structure. However, the back-gate structure suffers from a low operation speed and a large power consumption due to a large parasitic capacitance. Thus, a top-gate structure is indispensable for practical applications. In this study we fabricated a spin injection device having a top-gate structure, and demonstrated a gate control of spin-valve signals in InGaAs channel.

2. Experimental Method

A layer structure consisting of (from the substrate side) a 250-nm-thick undoped GaAs buffer layer, a 700-nm-thick n⁻⁻In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As channel layer, а 15-nm-thick $n^{-}-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As \rightarrow n^{+}-GaAs$ transition layer, and a 15-nm-thick n⁺-GaAs layer was grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on semi-insulating GaAs(001) substrates. The doping concentration of the n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As channel layer was 3×10^{16} cm⁻³ and that of the n⁺-GaAs layer was 5 \times 10¹⁸ cm⁻³ to form a narrow Schottky barrier. Samples were transferred to the second MBE chamber without exposure to air and a 10-nm-thick Fe spin source layer and a 10-nm-thick Al cap layer were then grown at room temperature.

The sample was then processed into a lateral spin transport device by using electron beam lithography and Ar ion milling techniques. The size of the injector contact and detector contact were $0.5 \times 10 \ \mu\text{m}$ and $1.0 \times 10 \ \mu\text{m}$, respectively, and the spacing between them was 6.0 $\ \mu\text{m}$. The top-gate electrode of Al was deposited on the n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As channel between the injector and detector contact (Fig. 1). The size of the top-gate electrode was 2.0 \times 10 $\ \mu\text{m}$. Spin-dependent transport properties for lateral spin transport devices were evaluated in a four-terminal cross-nonlocal geometry where the nonlocal voltage (V_{NL}) between contacts 3 and 1 was measured under a constant current (I_{bias}) supplied between contacts 2 and 4 at 4.2 K (Fig. 1). The negative V_{G} was applied to the top-gate with respect to terminal 2, which was grounded.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows I-V characteristics for (a) a Fe/n⁺-GaAs Schottky tunnel junction (injector/detector contact) and (b) an Al/n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As Schottky junction (gate/channel contact) at 4.2 K. The I-V curves for a Fe/n⁺-GaAs junction (a)



Fig. 1. (a) Layer structure and (b) a lateral spin injection device with a top-gate structure and circuit configuration.

exhibit nonlinear characteristics and are almost symmetric against the bias polarity, indicating that the tunneling conduction is dominant. The typical values of the resistance-area products ($R \cdot A$) was 130 k $\Omega \mu m^2$, where R is the resistance which was evaluated from the slope of the I-V curve at V = 0 V, and A is the junction area. The $R \cdot A$ value was close to our previous results [6]. The I-V curve for an Al/n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As junction, on the other hand, exhibits a clear rectifying nature, indicating that the Schottky barrier was formed at Al/n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As interface.

Figure 3 shows the V_G dependence of the channel resistivity (ρ) for V_G from 0 to -1.4 V. The ρ was estimated from the *I*-V characteristics, in which the voltage between contact-2 and contact-3 was measured while *I* was supplied

between contact-1 and contact-4. The ρ increased by approximately 1.5 times when $V_{\rm G}$ was changed from 0 to -1.4 V, indicating a proper gate operation.

Figure 4 shows spin-valve signals at 4.2 K for a crossnonlocal geometry at $V_G = 0$, -1.0 and -1.4 V. The injection current was set to 40 μ A. We observed clear spin-valve signals for all V_G , indicating the injection of spin-polarized electrons into an n⁻-In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As channel. The amplitudes of the spin-valve signals decreased as $|V_G|$ increased. This contrasted with our previous results, in which the amplitudes of the spin-valve signals increased as $|V_G|$ increased [5]. Although the origin of this discrepancy was not fully understood at present, this is the first demonstration of the gate control of spin signals through a top-gate structure.



Fig. 2. *I-V* characteristics for (a) a Fe/n⁺-GaAs Schottky tunnel junction (injector/detector contact) and (b) an AI/n^{-} -In_{0.04}Ga_{0.96}As Schottky junction.



Fig. 3. $V_{\rm G}$ dependence of the channel resistivity (ρ).



Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI Grants No. 25286039 and 15K13960) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References

[1] S. Datta and B. Das, APL 56, 665 (1990).

- [2] S. Sugahara and M. Tanaka, APL 84, 2307 (2004).
- [3] H. Goto et al., APL 92, 212110 (2008).
- [4] T. Sasaki et al., PRA 2, 034005(2014).
- [5] T. Miyakawa et al., APEX 9,023103 (2016).
- [6] T. Akiho et al., APEX 8, 093001 (2015).